

# **$\tau$ Bench: Extending XBench with Time**

Stephen W. Thomas, Richard T. Snodgrass, and Rui Zhang

March 21, 2013

TR-92

A TIMECENTER Technical Report

Title  $\tau$ Bench: Extending Xbench with Time

Copyright © 2013 Stephen W. Thomas, Richard T. Snodgrass, and Rui Zhang.  
All rights reserved.

Author(s) Stephen W. Thomas, Richard T. Snodgrass, and Rui Zhang

Publication History December 2010: Initial release.  
March 2013: Updated content, to align with Software: Practice and Experience  
publication and release of tBench 0.2.

## TIMECENTER Participants

Michael H. Böhlen, University of Zurich, Switzerland; Curtis E. Dyreson, Utah State University, USA; Fabio Grandi, University of Bologna, Italy; Christian S. Jensen (codirector), Aarhus University, Denmark; Vijay Khatri, Indiana University, USA; Gerhard Knolmayer, University of Berne, Switzerland; Carme Martín, Technical University of Catalonia, Spain; Thomas Myrach, University of Berne, Switzerland; Mario A. Nascimento, University of Alberta, Canada; Sudha Ram, University of Arizona, USA; John F. Roddick, Flinders University, Australia; Keun H. Ryu, Chungbuk National University, Korea; Simonas Šaltenis, Aalborg University, Denmark; Dennis Shasha, New York University, USA; Richard T. Snodgrass (codirector), University of Arizona, USA; Paolo Terenziani, University of Piemonte Orientale “Amedeo Avogadro,” Alessandria, Italy; Stephen W. Thomas, Queen’s University, Canada; Kristian Torp, Aalborg University, Denmark; Vassilis Tsotras, University of California, Riverside, USA; Fusheng Wang, Emory University, USA; Jef Wijsen, University of Mons-Hainaut, Belgium; and Carlo Zaniolo, University of California, Los Angeles, USA

For additional information, see The TIMECENTER Homepage:  
URL: <<http://www.cs.aau.dk/TimeCenter>>

*Any software made available via TIMECENTER is provided “as is” and without any express or implied warranties, including, without limitation, the implied warranty of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose.*

The TIMECENTER icon on the cover combines two “arrows.” These “arrows” are letters in the so-called *Rune* alphabet used one millennium ago by the Vikings, as well as by their predecessors and successors. The Rune alphabet (second phase) has 16 letters, all of which have angular shapes and lack horizontal lines because the primary storage medium was wood. Runes may also be found on jewelry, tools, and weapons and were perceived by many as having magic, hidden powers.

The two Rune arrows in the icon denote “T” and “C,” respectively.

# Contents

<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Anatomy of a Benchmark	1
1.2 XBench	1
1.2.1 Data Generation	2
1.2.2 Data Size	3
1.2.3 DC/SD: The Book Store Catalog ( <code>catalog.xml</code> )	3
1.3 $\tau$ XSchema	3
1.4 $\tau$ XQuery	3
1.5 Persistent Stored Modules	4
1.6 $\tau$ PSM	4
1.7 Benchmark Frameworks	4
<b>2 The Benchmarks of <math>\tau</math>Bench</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 The DC/SD XBench Benchmark	5
2.1.1 Schema	6
2.1.2 Data	6
2.1.3 Workload	7
2.2 The $\tau$ XBench Benchmark	8
2.2.1 Schema	8
2.2.2 Data	8
2.2.3 Workload	11
2.3 The PSM and PSM-DB2 Benchmarks	11
2.3.1 Data	11
2.3.2 Schema	12
2.3.3 Workload	13
2.4 The $\tau$ PSM and Related Benchmarks	14
2.4.1 Data	15
2.4.2 Schema	15
2.4.3 Workload	16
2.5 The $\tau$ XSchema Benchmark	16
2.5.1 Schema	17
2.5.2 Data	18
2.5.3 Workload	18
<b>3 Supporting Tools</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1 $\tau$ Generator	19
3.1.1 Simulation Description	19
3.1.2 Maintaining the Original Schema Constraints	20
3.1.3 Shredding XML Data into Relations	20
3.1.4 Input	20
3.1.5 Output	21
3.1.6 Compilation and Usage	21
3.2 Validation Tools	22
3.2.1 Validating the Output of $\tau$ Generator	22
3.2.2 Corrupting Data	22
3.2.3 Checking Primary Keys of Shredded Relations	22
3.2.4 Checking Referential Integrity of Shredded Relations	23

3.2.5	Comparing XML Slices to the Temporal XML Document . . . . .	23
3.2.6	Testing Queries . . . . .	23
3.2.7	Comparing XQuery and PSM Queries . . . . .	23
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Research</b>	<b>27</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Temporal Data Model</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>XML Schemas</b>	<b>35</b>
B.1	Conventional XML Schema (DCSD.xsd) . . . . .	35
B.2	Temporal XML Schema . . . . .	39
B.3	Conventional XML Schema with Added Constraints . . . . .	41
B.4	Representational XML Schema . . . . .	45
B.5	Temporal XML Schema with Added Constraints . . . . .	51
<b>C</b>	<b>Relational Schemas</b>	<b>53</b>
C.1	Non-temporal Relational Schemas . . . . .	53
C.2	Temporal Relational Schemas . . . . .	55
<b>D</b>	<b>Example Parameters File for <math>\tau</math>Generator</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>DB2 Differences</b>	<b>59</b>

## List of Figures

1	The three components of a benchmark. . . . .	2
2	A subset of the Book Store schema. . . . .	3
3	The Benchmark-Relationship diagram of the $\tau$ Bench framework. . . . .	5
4	The cardinality constraint (C5) of XBench's XML Schema. . . . .	6
5	Query Q2 of the XBench benchmark. . . . .	7
6	Query Q2 of the $\tau$ XQuery benchmark, with a <code>validtime</code> statement modifier. . . . .	11
7	Two versions of a simplified <code>&lt;author&gt;</code> temporal element. . . . .	12
8	Cardinality constraint C5 of the PSM benchmark schema. . . . .	13
9	Query Q2 of the PSM benchmark. . . . .	14
10	Query Q2 of the PSM-DB2 benchmark. . . . .	15
11	Cardinality constraint C5 of the $\tau$ PSM benchmark schema. . . . .	16
12	Query Q2 of the $\tau$ PSM benchmark. . . . .	16
13	Query Q2 of the $\tau$ PSM-DB2 benchmark. . . . .	17
14	The non-sequenced cardinality constraint (C5) of $\tau$ XSchema's XML Schema. . . . .	17
15	Overview of the $\tau$ Generator process. . . . .	19
16	Commutativity validation. . . . .	24
17	A simplified slice of the temporal <code>catalog.xml</code> document. . . . .	33
18	The <code>item</code> table creation and constraint definitions in SQL. . . . .	53
19	The <code>author</code> table creation and constraint definitions in SQL. . . . .	53
20	The <code>item_author</code> table creation and constraint definitions in SQL. . . . .	53
21	The <code>item_publisher</code> table creation and constraint definitions in SQL. . . . .	54
22	The <code>publisher</code> table creation and constraint definitions in SQL. . . . .	54
23	The <code>related_items</code> table creation and constraint definitions in SQL. . . . .	54
24	The <code>item</code> table creation and constrain definitions in SQL. . . . .	55



## List of Tables

1	A description of the $\tau$ Bench benchmark framework. . . . .	6
2	The features highlighted by the queries in the XBench Benchmark. Reproduced from [52]. . . . .	7
3	The characteristics of DS0.*. DS0.HUGE is excluded since it is too large to run in the current implementation of $\tau$ Generator. Note that the sizes of the input <code>catalog.xml</code> files and the output <code>output.date.xml</code> files differ, even though they have the same content, because $\tau$ Generator outputs the XML files with indentation, whereas XBench output is more compressed. . . . .	10
4	The characteristics of the LARGE class of the four temporal datasets we define in $\tau$ Bench. . . . .	10
5	The two versions of the <code>&lt;author&gt;</code> temporal element, shredded into two tuples. . . . .	12
6	The implicit and explicit schema constraints in the XBench benchmark. . . . .	18
7	The additional schema constraints in the $\tau$ XSchema benchmark. . . . .	18
8	The inputs into $\tau$ Generator. . . . .	25
9	The output files of $\tau$ Generator. . . . .	25





# 1 Introduction

*Time is God's way of keeping everything from happening at once.*  
-Anonymous

Since the advent of the computer in the 1960s, humans have recorded increasingly large amounts of data into various digital formats, such as relational databases, spreadsheets, and flat text files. The extensible markup language (XML) has become one of the more popular methods for storing and exchanging documents and data [45], due to its flexibility and self-describing nature. One source of evidence for XML's popularity is the huge number of tools that have recently been developed to store, maintain, query, and validate XML documents.

XBench is a family of benchmarks typically used to evaluate existing XML tools [33, 51, 52]. The authors of XBench analyzed several real-world datasets to measure their characteristics (such as typical data types and length of records), and the XBench datasets reflect these measurements. Having such a benchmark creates an environment for fair, accurate, realistic, and reproducible comparisons amongst competing XML tools and algorithms.

However, XBench only provides a single snapshot of a dataset, even though the data typically modeled in XML is known to change over time: companies evolve with new products and employees; new scientific discoveries are made; stock markets fluctuate on a minute-by-minute basis; and social networks are expanded. As such, *temporal* (or *time-varying*) data naturally arises in many XML applications. A temporal XML document records the evolution of an XML document over time, i.e., all of the versions of the document. Capturing a document's evolution is vital to supporting time travel queries that delve into a past version, and incremental queries that involve the changes between two versions.

Despite the prevalence of temporal data in real-world applications, no temporal benchmarks currently exist. Several strategies for managing temporal XML documents have been proposed [13, 2, 3, 4, 7, 10], but there is no easy way to compare them in a practical setting. DBMS vendors are starting to add (limited) temporal support, but again, there is no standard mechanism for comparing the performance of two DBMSes.

To create a standard comparison mechanism for temporal applications, we introduce a benchmark framework called  $\tau$ Bench. A *benchmark framework* is an ecosystem of benchmarks that are inter-connected in semantically-rich ways [43].  $\tau$ Bench consists of a suite of temporal and non-temporal benchmarks, all derived from XBench, as well as a suite of tools for generating and validating each benchmark. We describe our goals for creating such a suite and our mechanical processes for generating and validating each benchmark. We have made the  $\tau$ Bench suite of benchmarks and tools publicly available [44].

In addition to temporal XML,  $\tau$ Bench contains benchmarks for persistent stored modules (PSM) in SQL, and their temporal counterparts,  $\tau$ PSM.

A cornerstone of the  $\tau$ Bench tool suite is a temporal data generation tool,  $\tau$ Generator, which can randomly generate time-varying documents in several data formats. We describe the user-specified inputs into the tool and describe the internal simulation that randomly changes data elements at specified time intervals.

Finally, we describe an initial set of benchmarks that we have created in  $\tau$ Bench, which currently subsumes technologies such as XML Schema, XQuery,  $\tau$ XQuery,  $\tau$ XSchema, persistent stored modules (PSM), and  $\tau$ PSM.

## 1.1 Anatomy of a Benchmark

As depicted in Figure 1, a benchmark consists of three *components*: data, schema for the data, and workloads to perform on the data. This general definition of a benchmark allows for the data to take on various forms and formats, the schema to loosely or tightly constrain the characteristics of the data, and any sort of workload to be performed (for example, queries, constraint checking, or bulk loads).

To define a benchmark, one must define all three components of the benchmark. Providing only one of the components without the others does not define a usable benchmark and is therefore of limited value. In this report, we introduce a suite of benchmarks, each with these three components.

## 1.2 XBench

XBench is a family of benchmarks for XML database management systems (DBMSes), as well as a data generation tool for creating the benchmarks [52]. The XBench benchmarks have been widely used as standard datasets to test the performance and functionalities of new and existing XML tools and DBMSes.




Figure 1: The three components of a benchmark.

To create realistic and appropriate datasets, the authors of Xbench analyzed several real-world XML datasets to quantify their characteristics and relationships. As a result of this analysis, Xbench characterizes database applications along two dimensions: application characteristics and data characteristics. Application characteristics indicate whether the database is data-centric or text-centric. In *data-centric* (DC) XML, the set of XML vocabularies represent data that is more tightly structured than in *text-centric* (TC) XML. Text-centric XML is used when authoring loosely structured natural language documents such as articles or blogs. In terms of data characteristics, two classes are identified: *single document* (SD) and *multiple document* (MD). In the single document case, the database consists of a single document with complex structures, while the multiple document cases contain a set of XML documents. Thus, Xbench consists of four different categories that cover DC/SD, DC/MD, TC/SD, and TC/MD respectively.

1. **TC/SD.** The *text-centric, single-document* category is represented by a single document that is text-dominated. This category contains repeated similar entries, deep nesting, and references between entries. This category is based on analysis of the Oxford English Dictionary [49] and the GNU version of The Collaborative International Dictionary of English (GCIDE) [22].
2. **TC/MD.** The *text-centric, multiple-document* category is represented by numerous small documents that are text-dominated. This category contains references between elements and recursive elements. This category is based on analysis of the Reuters news corpus and Springer digital library.
3. **DC/SD.** The *document-centric, single-document* category is represented by a single document that contains little text. This category includes mostly transactional data where the element tags are more descriptive and contain less text content. This category is based on the analysis of TPC-W [29] benchmark.
4. **DC/MD.** The *document-centric, multiple-document* category is represented by five documents that each contain little text. Like the DC/SD category, the DC/MD category includes mostly transactional data where element tags are more descriptive and contain less text content and is based on the analysis of TPC-W benchmark.

For the initial purposes of  $\tau$ Bench, we use the DC/SD category of documents from Xbench since valid-time concepts are more applicable than in the other three categories.

### 1.2.1 Data Generation

Xbench populates each data element and attribute with random data obtained from ToXgene [6]. ToXgene is a template-based tool that generates synthetic XML documents according to one or more templates.

The actual text content of each data element or attribute is a randomly generated string or number, depending on the specification in the ToXgene template. For example, an instance of the `<last_name>` subelement of an `<author>` could be the string “BABABABAOGREAT”. Thus, in the general case, it is not useful to consider or analyze the actual data content of the elements and attributes. However, a `<related_ID>` element will always point to an ID of the correct form (i.e., the letter “I” followed by a positive integer); other schema constraints can be enforced within ToXgene.

---

```

<catalog>
  <item id="I1">
    ...
    <authors>
      <author> ... </author>
      ...
    </authors>
    <publisher> ... </publisher>
    <related_items>
      <related_item> ... </related_item>
    </related_items>
  </item>
  ...
</catalog>

```

---

Figure 2: A subset of the Book Store schema.

## 1.2.2 Data Size

For scalability purposes, Xbench has defined four data size classes for each of the categories: small (10MB), normal (100MB), large (1GB), and huge (10GB).

## 1.2.3 DC/SD: The Book Store Catalog (catalog.xml)

The DC/SD XML document created by Xbench, called `catalog.xml`, contains information about a book store. The full XML Schema is listed in Appendix B.1; an important subset is shown in Figure 2. In short, `<item>` (i.e., book) elements contain a list of `<author>`s, a `<publisher>`, and a list of `<related_items>` of related books.

It is worth emphasizing that there is a strict one-to-many relationship between `<item>`s and `<author>`s: although an item can have several authors, an author is only the author of a single item (no two items share an author). Similarly, there is a strict one-to-one relationship between `<item>`s and `<publisher>`s: an item has exactly one publisher, and each publisher publishes exactly one item.

## 1.3 $\tau$ XSchema

$\tau$ XSchema (Temporal XML Schema) is a language and set of tools that enable the construction and validation of temporal XML documents [13, 15, 24, 38, 41].  $\tau$ XSchema extends XML Schema with the ability to define temporal element types. A temporal element type denotes that an element can vary over time, describes how to associate temporal elements across slices (or snapshots, which are individual versions of a document), and provides some temporal constraints that broadly characterize how a temporal element can change over time.

In  $\tau$ XSchema, any element type can be turned into a temporal element type by including a simple logical annotation (stating whether an element or attribute varies over valid time or transaction time, whether its lifetime is described as a continuous state or a single event, whether the item itself may appear at certain times and not at others, and whether its content changes) in the type definition. So a  $\tau$ XSchema document is just a conventional XML Schema document with a few annotations.

$\tau$ XSchema provides a suite of tools to construct and validate temporal documents. A temporal document is validated by combining a conventional validating parser with a temporal constraint checker. To validate a temporal document, a temporal schema is first converted to a representational schema, which is a conventional XML Schema document that describes how the temporal information is represented. A conventional validating parser is then used to validate the temporal document against the representational schema. Then, the temporal constraint checker is used to validate any additional temporal constraints specified by the user in the temporal schema.

## 1.4 $\tau$ XQuery

$\tau$ XQuery adds temporal support to XQuery [47] by extending its syntax and semantics [19].  $\tau$ XQuery moves the complexity of handling time from the user/application code to the query processor.

In particular,  $\tau$ XQuery adds two temporal statement modifiers to the XQuery language: *current* and *sequenced*. A *current* query is a query on the current state of the XML data (i.e., elements and attributes that are valid *now*) and has the same semantics as a regular XQuery query applied to the current state of the XML data. *Sequenced* queries, on the other hand, are queries applied to each point in time, resulting in a sequence of temporal elements. Finally,  $\tau$ XQuery also has *representational* (also termed *non-sequenced*) queries, which query the time-line of the XML data irrespective of time. No statement modifiers are needed for representational queries.

## 1.5 Persistent Stored Modules

Persistent stored modules (PSM) is the Turing-complete portion of SQL in which stored programs are compiled and stored in a schema and can be executed on the SQL server by queries [16, 28]. PSM consists of *stored procedures* and *stored functions*, which are collectively referred to as *stored routines*. The SQL/PSM standard [16] provides a set of control-flow constructs (*features*) for SQL routines.

## 1.6 $\tau$ PSM

$\tau$ PSM extends PSM to allow temporal queries [19]. The approach requires minor new syntax beyond that already in SQL/Temporal to define PSM routines.  $\tau$ PSM enables current, sequenced, and non-sequenced semantics of queries and of PSM routines to be realized.

## 1.7 Benchmark Frameworks

Benchmark frameworks are fully described elsewhere [43]; here we only provide a brief introduction.

A benchmark framework is an ecosystem of benchmarks that are inter-connected in semantically-rich ways. For example, the data used in one benchmark might be derived from the data of another (e.g., by increasing the size of the data), while the workloads and schemas of the two benchmarks are identical.

Like software frameworks, the creation of a benchmark framework is not mechanical. Instead, they are created iteratively: beginning with a root (or *foundation*) benchmark  $B$ , a new benchmark  $B'$  is created by changing one or more of the six components of  $B$ . A *relationship* between  $B$  and  $B'$  is made to describe the changes. In this way, a DAG of benchmarks emerges, where nodes are benchmarks and each edge is the relationship between each pair of benchmarks. This graph exactly describes a *benchmark framework*. At this point, benchmark frameworks depart in design from software frameworks such as the Java Collections framework. To build the Collections framework, the designers had to first boil the ocean: almost the entire framework had to be in place before any of it was useful. In contrast, benchmark frameworks are useful immediately, as new benchmarks can easily be derived whether there are two benchmarks or two hundred present in the framework.




Figure 3: The Benchmark-Relationship diagram of the  $\tau$ Bench framework.

## 2 The Benchmarks of $\tau$ Bench

Using the methodology fully described elsewhere [43], we have created a benchmark framework called  $\tau$ Bench. As we describe below,  $\tau$ Bench makes use of the organizational insight of using statement modifiers, commutativity validation, and temporal upward compatibility when deriving temporal benchmarks from non-temporal benchmarks.

Depicted in Figure 3,  $\tau$ Bench currently consists of ten individual benchmarks, spanning various technologies including XML, XML Schema [30, 31], XQuery [47],  $\tau$ XSchema [13, 15, 14, 24, 38, 41],  $\tau$ XQuery [20, 21, 19], PSM [16, 28], and  $\tau$ PSM [19, 39]; the Benchmark-Relationship diagram is given in Figure 3 and the main components are summarized in Table 1.

### 2.1 The DC/SD XBench Benchmark

XBench is a family of benchmarks for XML DBMSes, as well as a data generation tool for creating the benchmarks [51]. The XBench family has been widely used as standard datasets to investigate the functionalities of new and existing XML tools and DBMSes. (Other XML benchmarks include MBench [34], MemBeR [1], Transaction Processing over XML (TPoX) [32], XMach-1 [9], XMark [35], XPathMark [17], and XOO7 [11]. Most of these benchmarks focus on XQuery processing, as does XBench.)

To create the datasets, the authors of XBench analyzed several real-world XML datasets to quantify their characteristics and relationships. As a result of this analysis, XBench characterizes database applications along two independent dimensions: application characteristics (i.e., whether the database is data-centric or text-centric) and data characteristics (i.e., single-document vs. multi-document). Thus, XBench consists of four different categories that cover DC/SD, DC/MD, TC/SD, and TC/MD, respectively.

The DC/SD XBench benchmark is one of the four constituent benchmarks of the XBench family, based strictly on the DC/SD category. We use this benchmark as the foundation of  $\tau$ Bench, due to its combination of

Table 1: A description of the  $\tau$ Bench benchmark framework.

Benchmark Name	Derived From	Data Model	DDL	DML
DC/SD XBench	–	XML	XML Schema	XQuery
$\tau$ XBench	DC/SD XBench	XML	$\tau$ XSchema	$\tau$ XQuery
$\tau$ XSchema	$\tau$ XBench	XML	$\tau$ XSchema	–
PSM	DC/SD XBench	Relational	PSM DDL	PSM DML
PSM-DB2	PSM	Relational	DB2 DDL	DB2 DML
$\tau$ PSM	PSM, $\tau$ XBench	Relational	PSM DDL	$\tau$ PSM
$\tau$ PSM-MaxMapped	$\tau$ PSM	Relational	PSM DDL	PSM DML
$\tau$ PSM-MaxMapped-DB2	$\tau$ PSM-MaxMapped	Relational	DB2 DDL	DB2 DML
$\tau$ PSM-PerStmtMapped	$\tau$ PSM	Relational	PSM DDL	PSM DML
$\tau$ PSM-PerStmtMapped-DB2	$\tau$ PSM-PerStmtMapped	Relational	DB2 DDL	DB2 DML

simplicity and generally realistic assumptions.

### 2.1.1 Schema

The schema of DC/SD XBench is specified as an XML Schema [30, 31]. The schema defines the library catalog, where `<item>` (i.e., book) elements contain a list of `<author>`s, a `<publisher>`, and a list of `<related_items>` of related books (Figure 2). Each `<item>`, `<author>`, and `<publisher>` has a unique `id` attribute. The schema specifies several constraints, such as the uniqueness of the `id` attributes and that items can be related to between 0 and 5 other items.

The schema is given in Appendix B.1 and Table 6 summarizes the (implicit and explicit) constraints defined by the schema. For simplicity, the table does not list data type constraints nor implied (default) cardinality constraints (i.e., all sub-elements of an element must occur exactly once). It is these constraints that we preserve as we derive the schemas for the other benchmarks. We also observe and maintain these constraints during the temporal simulation. (Details are provided in Section 3.1.)

As a running example throughout this Section, consider Figure 4. The listing shows the XML Schema cardinality constraint that says: “Each `<authors>` element must have between one and four `<author>` subelements.” The constraint is specified via the `minOccurs` and `maxOccurs` attributes of the `<element>` element of XML Schema. As new benchmarks are added to the framework, we will show how this constraint gets transformed into new schema languages and models.

```

<xs:element name="authors">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:sequence>
      <!-- Cardinality Constraint -->
      <!-- An item must have between 1 and 4 authors. -->
      <xs:element ref="author" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="4"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

```

Figure 4: The cardinality constraint (C5) of XBench’s XML Schema.

### 2.1.2 Data

XBench populates each data element and attribute with random data generated from ToXgene [6]. ToXgene is a template-based tool that generates synthetic XML documents according to one or more user-defined templates.

The data in DC/SD XBench consists of a single document, `catalog.xml`, which contains information about a library catalog, i.e., items (books), authors, publishers, and related items. The actual text content of each element or attribute is a randomly generated string or number, depending on the specification in the ToXgene template. For example, an instance of the `<last_name>` of an `<author>` could be the string “BABABABAO-

```

for $item in input()/catalog/:item
where $item/authors/author/name/first_name = "Ben"
return
  $item/title

```

Figure 5: Query Q2 of the Xbench benchmark.

	Description	Highlighted Feature
Q1	Return the item that has matching item id attribute value (I1).	Top level exact match
Q2	Find the title of the item which has matching author first name (Ben).	Deep level exact match
Q3	Group items released in a certain year (1990), by publisher name and calculate the total number of items for each group.	Function application
Q4	List the item id of the previous item of a matching item with id attribute value (I2).	Relative ordered access
Q5	Return the information about the first author of item with a matching id attribute value (I3).	Absolute ordered access
Q6	Return item information where some authors are from certain country (Canada).	Existential quantifier
Q7	Return item information where all its authors are from certain country (Canada).	Universal quantifier
Q8	Return the publisher of an item with id attribute value (I4).	Regular path expressions (unknown element name)
Q9	Return the ISBN of an item with id attribute value (I5).	Regular path expressions (unknown subpaths)
Q10	List the item titles ordered alphabetically by publisher name, with release date within a certain time period (from 1990-01-01 to 1995-01-01).	Sorting by string types
Q11	List the item titles in descending order by date of release with date of release within a certain time range (from 1990-01-01 to 1995-01-01).	Sorting by non string types
Q12	Get the mailing address of the first author of certain item with id attribute value (I6).	Document structure preserving
Q14	Return the names of publishers who publish books between a period of time (from 1990-01-01 to 1991-01-01) but do not have FAX number.	Missing elements
Q17	Return the ids of items whose descriptions contain a certain word ("hockey").	Uni-gram search
Q19	Retrieve the item titles related by certain item with id attribute value (I7).	References and joins
Q20	Retrieve the item title whose size (length*width*height) is bigger than certain number (500000).	Datatype Cast

Table 2: The features highlighted by the queries in the Xbench Benchmark. Reproduced from [52].

GREAT". (However, some content is not random. For example, a <related\_ID> element will always point to an existing item ID.)

A crucial point to note is that, because of the data generation process, there is a strict one-to-many relationship between items and authors: although an item can have several authors, an author is only the author of a single item (no two items share an author). Similarly, there is a strict one-to-one relationship between items and publishers: an item has exactly one publisher, and each publisher publishes exactly one item. These constraints are not, however, explicit in the schema, but must be satisfied by tools that manipulate the data, as we shall see in Section 3.1.

### 2.1.3 Workload

The workload consists of 17 XQuery [47] queries. Each query tests a different feature of the query engine, such as existential quantifiers (query *q6*: "Return items where some authors are from Canada"), sorting by string types (query *q10*: "List the item titles ordered alphabetically by publisher name"), and uni-gram searching (query *q17*: "Return the ids of items whose descriptions contain the word hockey"). Each such query can thus be considered a *microbenchmark* [34], designed to test the performance of a specific language construct. Other microbenchmarks include IMbench [27] (addressing system latency), MemBeR [1] (also XQuery), and MBench [34] (also XQuery).

A description of each query and its highlighted functionality is shown in Table 2. Figure 5 shows an example query (Q2): "Find the title of the item which has matching author first name (Ben)". In the query, `input()` is the input document (assumed to already be opened).

## 2.2 The $\tau$ XBench Benchmark

This benchmark adds a temporal dimension to the XBench DC/SD benchmark, so that temporal databases and query languages can be evaluated.

In particular,  $\tau$ XQuery is a temporal query language that adds temporal support to XQuery by extending its syntax and semantics [20, 21, 19].  $\tau$ XQuery moves the complexity of handling time from the user/application code to the query processor.  $\tau$ XQuery adds two temporal statement modifiers [8] to the XQuery language: *current* and *sequenced*. A *current* query is a query on the current state of the XML data (i.e., elements and attributes that are valid *now*) and has the same semantics as a regular XQuery query applied to the current state of the XML data. *Sequenced* queries, on the other hand, are queries applied to each point in time, resulting in a sequence of temporal elements. Finally,  $\tau$ XQuery also has *representational* (also termed *non-sequenced*) queries, which query the time-line of the XML data irrespective of time. No statement modifiers are needed for representational queries.

The  $\tau$ XBench benchmark was created to investigate  $\tau$ XQuery and other temporal query languages.

### 2.2.1 Schema

The schema of  $\tau$ XBench is a *temporal XML schema*, a concept defined in the  $\tau$ XSchema framework [14, 13]. A temporal schema is intuitively similar to an XML Schema, except with annotations to specify which elements (i.e., books, authors, and publishers) may vary over time. In practice, a temporal schema contains three types of schemas: a conventional XML schema to describe each XML slice; logical and physical annotations to specify the logical and physical timestamps, respectively; and a representational schema to describe the physical representation of the temporal XML document.

The conventional schema, temporal annotations, and representational schemas for this benchmark are given in Appendices B.1, B.2, and B.4, respectively.

To define the temporal schema, we set the XML Schema from XBench DC/SD) as the sole conventional schema; we do not specifying any logical annotations (and therefore use the sequenced semantics of each of the original constraints in the conventional schema) and place the physical timestamps at the `<item>`, `<author>`, `<publisher>`, and `<related_author>` elements, since that's how our data generation tool works (Section ??); and we use the representational schema that is automatically created using SCHEMAMAPPER, which is part of the  $\tau$ XSchema tool suite. (The representational schema is derived from the conventional schema and physical annotations.)

**Validation.** Since the conventional schema is duplicated from the XBench schema, it does not require validation.

It is important to note that even though the logical annotations do not contain any explicit temporal constraints, there are implicit constraints present. By design, a temporal schema preserves the sequenced semantics of its conventional schemas. Thus, the semantics of the original XML schema of XBench DC/SD), and in particular its integrity constraints, are preserved in the temporal schema. As an example, the non-temporal constraint in Figure 4 would still apply to each of the XML data slices, and thus at each point of time of the temporal document.

The representational schema describes how the temporal data is represented (e.g., `<item_RepItem>` and `<item_Version>`) and is created automatically by the  $\tau$ XSchema tool suite based on the temporal XML Schema.

All three of these parts of the temporal schema are validated by triangulation of the validation of the data: if each slice of a temporal document is validated against the conventional schema, and if the temporal document is validated against the temporal schema, that helps ensure that the temporal schema and representational schemas are themselves valid. Also, the temporal schema is validated against its XML Schema schema. In testing, we frequently encountered validation failures of the data that indicated subtle problems in other components, whether the generation code or one of the schema specifications. Each of these failures gave us reassurance that the sum total of the validation required a high degree of correspondence and consistency between the many parts.

### 2.2.2 Data

The data of  $\tau$ XBench is the result of applying a temporal simulation,  $\tau$ Generator (Section 3), to the non-temporal XBench DC/SD dataset. This derived temporal dataset consists of books whose titles, authors, and publishers change over time, authors whose names and addresses change over time, and publishers whose lists of published books changes over time.



We describe in detail the workings of  $\tau$ Generator in Section 3. For now, it is sufficient to know that  $\tau$ Generator takes as input the non-temporal XML data from XBench, along with some user-defined simulation parameters, and outputs four sets of files: a temporal XML document; a set of non-temporal XML slices that correspond to the temporal XML document; a set of shredded temporal relations; and a set of shredded non-temporal relation slices that correspond to the temporal relations.

We define four datasets, each of which is generated by  $\tau$ Generator, three of which are temporal, and all of which are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. Each dataset can come in any size, depending on the class of the input XBench dataset (small, medium, large, or huge). We name each dataset  $DS\#.class$ , where ‘#’ is a unique identifier for the dataset and *class* is the class of the input XBench dataset. (Thus,  $DS1.LARGE$  is a temporal dataset generated from the `catalog.xml` document in the large DC/SD XBench dataset.) We use the notation  $DS\#.*$  to refer to a dataset generated with any of the classes.

Because of the design of  $\tau$ Generator, which divides an input dataset into an initial slice and a “pool” of elements for use during the simulation, an input dataset must be large enough to accommodate the requested number of changes during the temporal simulation. This means that, for example, we would not be able use the SMALL XBench dataset to generate one thousand 1GB slices. Thus, depending on the characteristics of the defined temporal datasets, only certain input datasets make sense. We specify these below where applicable.

**Dataset 0 ( $DS0.*$ )** This non-temporal dataset consists of the original, unmodified DC/SD XBench `catalog.xml`, along with the corresponding shredded non-temporal relations.

**Dataset 1 ( $DS1.*$ )** This temporal dataset consists of  $DS0.*$  subjected to the temporal simulation with a weekly (7 day) time step and 700 changes per time step over a one year period. The initial slice of the temporal data consists of 10% of the elements from  $DS0.*$ ; the remaining 90% are placed in a selection pool to be used by the simulation for the temporal changes. At each time step, the temporal changes are spread equally amongst the change types (insert, update, and delete) and temporal elements (`<item>`, `<author>`, `<publisher>`, and `<related_item>`). The total number of changes in the simulation is thus 36,400, over 52 time steps. We set the element selection type to “uniform” so that every element has an equal probability of being changed.

This dataset requires either the LARGE or HUGE XBench dataset as input.

**Dataset 2 ( $DS2.*$ )** This temporal dataset is the same as  $DS1.*$ , except with a Gaussian element selection type. We make this choice to create a hot-spot of activity at the mean of the Gaussian distribution: elements with IDs near the mean will change frequently, while elements with IDs far from the mean will likely never change.

This dataset requires either the LARGE or HUGE XBench dataset as input.

**Dataset 3 ( $DS3.*$ )** This temporal dataset is the same as  $DS2.*$ , except with a shorter time step (one day instead of seven days) and fewer changes per time step (100 versus 700). Since the number of required changes is the same,  $DS3.*$  will result in the same data characteristics as  $DS2.*$ , that is, 36,400 changes, except with seven times as many slices (364 versus 52).

This dataset requires either the LARGE or HUGE XBench dataset as input.

**Dataset 4 ( $DS4.*$ )** This temporal dataset is created in order to provide a dataset with smaller slices and a substantive selection pool size to allow a large number of slices to be created.  $DS4.*$  is the same as  $DS1.*$ , except with only 0.4% of the elements from the input XBench dataset being selected for the initial slice (and thus 99.6% placed in the selection pool) and only 10 temporal changes per time step (Note that the time step is still 7 days). The required number of slices is set to 100 (or, equivalently, 1000 changes are required), although this parameter could easily be changed for evaluation purposes (for example, varying the number of slices to see the effect on the given application).

**Validation.** The integrity of the datasets is crucial for the purposes of creating a benchmark. We therefore subject the generated datasets to a robust validation process.

The temporal XML document is validated in three ways:

- By the representational schema (created using the  $\tau$ XSchema tool suite [13]), to ensure it has the correct form.

	DS0.SMALL	DS0.MEDIUM	DS0.LARGE
<i>Input Size</i>			
Total input size (catalog.xml)	11MB	104MB	1.1G
<i>Output Sizes - XML documents</i>			
output.date.xml size (elements)	16.1MB (2500)	161MB (25000)	1.6GB (250000)
<i>Output Sizes - Shredded documents</i>			
item.date.csv size (rows)	1.1MB (2500)	11MB (25000)	106MB (250000)
author.date.csv size (rows)	2.9MB (6264)	29MB (62497)	282MB (625057)
publisher.date.csv size (rows)	384KB (2500)	3.8MB (25000)	38MB (250000)
related.item.date.csv size (rows)	70K (6401)	803KB (62636)	9.1MB (624685)
item.author.date.csv size (rows)	70K (6264)	817KB (62497)	9.2MB (625057)
item.publisher.date.csv size (rows)	28K (2500)	321KB (25000)	3.7MB (250000)
Total output size	21MB	205MB	2.1GB

Table 3: The characteristics of DS0.\*. DS0.HUGE is excluded since it is too large to run in the current implementation of  $\tau$ Generator. Note that the sizes of the input catalog.xml files and the output output.date.xml files differ, even though they have the same content, because  $\tau$ Generator outputs the XML files with indentation, whereas Xbench output is more compressed.

	DS1.LARGE	DS2.LARGE	DS3.LARGE	DS4.LARGE
<i>Input Parameters</i>				
INITIAL_PERCENTAGE	10	10	10	0.4
TIME_STEP	7	7	1	7
Changes per time step <sup>1</sup>	700	700	100	10
REQUIRED_CHANGES	36400	36400	36400	-
REQUIRED_SLICES	-	-	-	100
SELECTION_TYPE	uniform	gaussian	gaussian	uniform
SELECTION_STDDEV	-	100	100	-
<i>Output Sizes - XML documents</i>				
output.*.xml size (elements)	160MB (25000)	160MB (25000)	160MB (25000)	6.5MB (1000)
<i>Output Sizes - Shredded documents</i>				
output.final.xml size (elements)	361MB (28306)	361MB (28306)	358MB (28200)	13.5MB (1100)
item.final.csv size (rows)	12.5MB (28306)	12.5MB (28306)	12.5MB (28200)	0.5MB (1100)
author.final.csv size (rows)	36.4MB (77236)	36.4MB (77212)	36.2MB (76723)	1.4MB (3010)
publisher.final.csv size (rows)	7MB (38224)	7MB (38224)	6MB (37800)	0.2MB (1297)
related.item.final.csv size (rows)	4MB (119875)	4MB (119691)	4MB (120581)	0.1MB (3800)
item.author.final.csv size (rows)	3MB (77236)	3MB (77212)	3MB (76723)	0.1MB (3010)
item.publisher.final.csv size (rows)	1.3MB (38224)	1.4MB (38224)	1.3MB (37800)	< 0.1MB (1297)
Total output size (XML and shredded)	12.5GB	12.5GB	81GB	860MB

<sup>1</sup> Total number of changes to all temporal elements.

Table 4: The characteristics of the LARGE class of the four temporal datasets we define in  $\tau$ Bench.

---

```

validtime for $item in input()/catalog/:item
where $item/authors/author/name/first_name = "Ben"
return
  $item/title

```

---

Figure 6: Query Q2 of the  $\tau$ XQuery benchmark, with a `validtime` statement modifier.

- By the sequenced and non-sequenced constraints in the temporal schema (also created using the  $\tau$ XSchema tool suite), to ensure both types of constraints are ensured.
- By unquashing [13] it and comparing each resulting slice to the generated slices with X-Diff [48] (see Section 3.2.5).

Should the temporal XML document pass all of these tests, we know that it is well formed and satisfies all of the constraints in the original XBench dataset.

The XML slices are validated by subjecting each to the original XBench schema using XMLLINT (see Section 3.2.1). The temporal relations are validated by checking the primary key and referential integrity constraints of each relation (see Sections 3.2.3 and 3.2.4). The relation slices are validated by checking the primary key and referential integrity constraints of each relation at each time period (see Sections 3.2.3 and 3.2.4).

### 2.2.3 Workload

We define a set of 85  $\tau$ XQuery queries: a current and non-sequenced query for each of the original 17 queries from XBench DC/SD, and three sequenced queries for each of the original 17 XBench queries: a *short period* query that only considers 10% of the time line, a *medium period* query that considers 50%, and a *long period* query that considers 90%.

The queries themselves are the same as those in the XBench DC/SD workload, except with temporal statement modifiers prepended to the query. Semantically, the queries are expanded, but we prefer in the Benchmark-Relationship diagram to emphasize that an automated mapping (such as with TXL [12]) could convert the original queries into new queries by simply prepending the temporal statement modifiers. These new queries can then be executed by any tool that understands the statement modifiers, such as the  $\tau$ XQuery engine or by a source-to-source translator from  $\tau$ XQuery to XQuery.

**Validation.** Since we only add simple statement modifiers, no validation is required.

At a different level though, if a way to evaluate  $\tau$ XQuery queries existed, we could evaluate each on a temporal document, then compare the result of that query with that of the analogous non-temporal query on the slices. This process would provide a validation of the *evaluator*, but also indirectly of the  $\tau$ XQuery queries themselves.

## 2.3 The PSM and PSM-DB2 Benchmarks

Persistent stored modules (PSM) is the Turing-complete portion of SQL in which stored programs are compiled and stored in a schema and can be executed on the SQL server by queries [16, 28]. PSM consists of *stored procedures* and *stored functions*, which are collectively referred to as *stored routines*. The SQL/PSM standard [16] provides a set of control-flow constructs (*features*) for SQL routines.

We adopted the PSM benchmark from the XBench DC/SD benchmark to assess DBMSes that implement PSM functionality. The PSM-DB2 benchmark is a simple transformation of the schema and workload of the PSM benchmark so that the resulting benchmark is compatible and thus can be executed in IBM DB2.

### 2.3.1 Data

The data is reused as XBench DC/SD, and translated into six relations.

*Shredding* is the process of transforming an XML tree into a set of two dimensional DBMS relations [36]. Doing so often requires generating additional tables that contain relational or hierarchical information. For example, an additional table is required to list the relations between items and authors in the XBench DC/SD data. This relationship is implicitly captured in XML by making author a subelement of item, but must be explicitly captured in its own relation during the shredding process.

---

```

<author_RepItem>
  <author_Version begin="1992-12-04" end="2004-08-05">
    <author>
      <first_name>Tandy</first_name>
      <date_of_birth>1972-06-29</date_of_birth>
      <name_of_city>Oakville</name_of_city>
      ...
    </author>
  </author_Version>
  <author_Version begin="2004-08-05" end="2005-05-01">
    <author>
      <first_name>Tandy</first_name>
      <date_of_birth>1972-06-29</date_of_birth>
      <name_of_city>Lincoln</name_of_city>
      ...
    </author>
  </author_Version>
</author_RepItem>

```

---

Figure 7: Two versions of a simplified `<author>` temporal element.

We shred the time-varying `catalog.xml` into six relations: four for the original four temporal elements, one to map author IDs to item IDs, and one to map publisher IDs to item IDs. In general, each column of each shredded relation corresponds to the text content of a subelement of the corresponding temporal element. For example, the (simplified) `<author>` temporal element (note: not actually generated by  $\tau$ Generator) with two versions shown in Figure 7 would be shredded into the two tuples shown in Table 5.

Appendix C.1 contains the relational schemas for the six shredded relations.

### 2.3.2 Schema

For this benchmark, we need a *relational schema*, rather than an *XML schema*. We manually define a set of relational schemas that correspond to the data and constraints of the XBench DC/SD schema. Specifically, we consider the shredding process of the `<item>`, `<author>`, `<publisher>`, and `<related.items>` elements of the XML data. We define six relations (`item`, `author`, `publisher`, `related.items`, `item.author`, and `item.publisher`), primary and foreign keys for each table, and assertions to capture the cardinality constraints specified in the XBench schema.

Appendix C.1 gives the schemas (i.e., the table definitions, keys, and assertions) for ensuring the validity of the constraints defined in XBench’s XML schema.

The relational schemas mimic their counterparts found in the XML Schema as closely as possible. The tables schemas were created by closely examining the original XBench DC/SD schema and noting its hierarchical structure and relationships. We then defined a set of corresponding tables that captured all of the information in the original XML schema. For example, if the `<author>` element in the XML data had a subelement named `<name_of_city>` of type `DATE`, then we would define a column in the `author` table named `name_of_city` and set the type to `DATE`.

We define a primary key in each relation as follows.

- `item`. The `id` column.
- `author`. The `author_id` column.
- `publisher`. The `publisher_id` column.
- `related.items`. The `item_id` and `related_id` columns.
- `item.author`. The `item_id` and `author_id` columns.
- `item.publisher`. The `item_id` and `publisher_id` columns.

<code>first_name</code>	<code>date_of_birth</code>	<code>name_of_city</code>	<code>...</code>	<code>begin</code>	<code>end</code>
Tandy	1972-06-29	Oakville	...	1992-12-04	2004-08-05
Tandy	1972-06-29	Lincoln	...	2004-08-05	2005-05-01

Table 5: The two versions of the `<author>` temporal element, shredded into two tuples.

---

```

CREATE ASSERTION number_authors CHECK
(NOT EXISTS (SELECT IA.item_id, count(*) cnt
FROM item_author IA
HAVING count(*) > 4))

```

---

Figure 8: Cardinality constraint C5 of the PSM benchmark schema.

We define foreign keys in each relation as follows.

- `related_items`. The `item_id` and `related_id` columns both refer to an existing `id` in the `item` table.
- `item_author`. The `item_id` refers to an existing `id` in the `item` table and the `author_id` refers to an existing `author_id` in the `author` table.
- `item_publisher`. The `item_id` refers to an existing `id` in the `item` table and the `publisher_id` refers to an existing `publisher_id` in the `publisher` table.

An SQL assertion was created for each of the original cardinality constraints. For example, Figure 8 shows the cardinality constraint C5 of Xbench as an SQL assertion.

**Validation.** To validate the table schemas, we load the data and tables into the DBMS. If the data loads successfully (during which assertions such as in Figure 8 are checked by the DBMS), then we know the schemas are valid.

For further validation, we have written a tool called  $\tau$ Corruptor (Section 3.2.2) to randomly corrupt the data in the relations in such a way that one of the pre-defined constraints is violated. We run  $\tau$ Corruptor to corrupt the data and load it into the DBMS. If the schemas catch the violation, then we can be sure that the constraints are working correctly.

### 2.3.3 Workload

The workload is derived from the original 17 queries in the Xbench DC/SD workload, translated to be in the form of relational DML (i.e., SQL/PSM). Six queries were omitted, since they had no conceptual counterpart in PSM, such as testing the access of relatively ordered XML elements. The workload was thus designed to be a microbenchmark, in that each PSM query highlights an individual construct of PSM, so that for example the performance of each construct can be studied in isolation, while retaining as much as possible the semantics of the original Xbench query from which it was derived. Some queries, such as  $q2$  were also changed to highlight a different feature, such as multiple `SET` statements in  $q2b$  and nested `FETCH` statements in  $q7c$ . See also  $q7b$ . Also we added three queries  $q17$ ,  $q18$ , and  $q19$ , and one variant,  $q17b$ .

- Query  $q2$  highlights the construct of `SET` with a `SELECT` row,
- Query  $2b$  highlights multiple `SET` statements,
- Query  $q3$  highlights a `RETURN` with a `SELECT` row,
- Query  $q5$  highlights a function in the `SELECT` statement,
- Query  $q6$  highlights the `CASE` statement,
- Query  $q7$  highlights the `WHILE` statement,
- Query  $q7b$  highlights the `REPEAT` statement,
- Query  $q7c$  highlights the nested `FETCH` statements,
- Query  $q8$  highlights a loop name with the `FOR` statement,
- Query  $q9$  highlights a `CALL` within a procedure,
- Query  $q10$  highlights an `IF` without a `CURSOR`,

---

```

-----
-- Q2:
-- Find the title of the item which has matching author
-- first name (Ben).
-- Feature:
-- SET with SELECT single row
-----
CREATE FUNCTION get_author_name(aid CHARACTER(10))
RETURNS CHARACTER(50)
READS SQL DATA
LANGUAGE SQL
BEGIN
  DECLARE fname CHARACTER(50);
  SET fname = (SELECT first_name FROM author WHERE author_id = aid);
  RETURN fname;
END;

SELECT i.title
FROM item i, item_author ia
WHERE i.id = ia.item_id
AND get_author_name(ia.author_id) = 'Ben';

```

---

Figure 9: Query Q2 of the PSM benchmark.

- Query *q11* highlights creation of a temporary table,
- Query *q14* highlights a local cursor declaration with associated `FETCH`, `OPEN`, and `CLOSE` statements,
- Query *q17* highlights the `LEAVE` statement,
- Query *q17b* highlights a non-nested `FETCH` statement,
- Query *q18* highlights a function called in the `FROM` clause, and
- Query *q19* highlights a `SET` statement.

The result is a set of 17 queries.

The PSM-DB2 workload utilizes a source-to-source translation of standard PSM into the syntactic variant supported by IBM DB2.

The queries for the PSM benchmark are manually derived by the second and third authors from the XQuery queries of the XBench benchmark. The authors began with the description of the XBench queries and manually implemented a semantically equivalent query in PSM.

Figure 9 shows query Q2 mapped from the original XBench query in Figure 5.

We have developed a tool (see Section 3.2.7) that compares the output of running XQuery on an XBench query and running PSM on a PSM query. If the results are textually equivalent (after some modest reformatting), then we say that the queries are equivalent. We compare each pair of queries in this way (except Q17b, since it is new to the PSM benchmark) to determine the equivalence of the query sets.

We have translated the queries to conform to the DB2 syntax requirements, as depicted in Appendix E. Figure 10 shows Q2 after the translation.

## 2.4 The $\tau$ PSM and Related Benchmarks

Temporal SQL/PSM is a temporal extension to SQL/PSM that supports temporal relations and queries in conjunction with PSM functionality [19, 39]. The approach is similar to  $\tau$ XQuery in that it requires minor new syntax beyond that already in SQL/Temporal to define temporal PSM routines. Temporal SQL/PSM enables current queries (which are evaluated at the current time), sequenced (which are evaluated logically at each point in time independently), and non-sequenced queries (which are the most general of temporal semantics), as well as these three variants of PSM routines, similar to those described earlier for  $\tau$ XQuery.

The  $\tau$ PSM benchmark was created to test and validate Temporal SQL/PSM. There have been two different time slicing techniques proposed for implementing Temporal SQL/PSM: *maximally-fragmented slicing* and *per-statement slicing* [19, 39]. These slicing techniques are each a source-to-source translation of the sequenced queries and PSM routines of the workloads into conventional queries and PSM routines that explicitly manage the

---

```

-----
-- Q2:
-- Find the title of the item which has matching author
-- first name (Ben).
-- Feature:
-- SET with SELECT single row
-----
CREATE FUNCTION db2_orig_get_author_name(aid CHARACTER(10))
  RETURNS CHARACTER(50)
  NO EXTERNAL ACTION
F1: BEGIN
  DECLARE fname CHARACTER(50);
  SET fname = (SELECT first_name FROM author WHERE author_id = aid);
  RETURN fname;
END

SELECT i.title
  FROM item i, item_author ia
  WHERE i.id = ia.item_id
  AND db2_orig_get_author_name(ia.author_id) = 'Ben';

```

---

Figure 10: Query Q2 of the PSM-DB2 benchmark.

timestamps in the data, to optimize the temporal queries in various ways.. Thus we created the  $\tau$ PSM-MaxMapped and  $\tau$ PSM-PerStmtMapped benchmarks for these techniques. We also used the translation discussed above to create the schemas and workloads for the two DB2 variants, so that the resulting queries can be executed in DB2. (We note that the SQL:2011 standard [40] and IBM DB2 10 very recently now support temporal tables; our transformations are on conventional, that is, non-temporal, tables and queries.)

#### 2.4.1 Data

The data of  $\tau$ PSM is the result of translating (shredding) the temporal XML data of  $\tau$ XBench into six (temporal) relations (see the previous section). We defined three datasets of different temporal volatility: DS1, DS2, and DS3. DS1 contains weekly changes, thus it contains 104 slices over two years, with each item having the same probability of being changed. Each time step experiences a total of 240 changes; thus there are 25K changes in all. DS2 contains the same number of slices but with rows in related tables associated with particular items changed more often (using a Gaussian distribution), to simulate hot-spot items. DS3 returns to the uniform model for the related tuples to be changed, but the changes are carried out on a daily basis, or 693 slices in all, each with 240 changes, or 25K changes in all (the number of slices was chosen to render the same number of total changes). These datasets come in different sizes: SMALL (e.g., DS1.SMALL is 12MB in six tables), MEDIUM (34MB), and LARGE (260MB).

The data for the two  $\tau$ PSM-\*Mapped and two  $\tau$ PSM-\*Mapped-DB2 variants is equivalent to that of  $\tau$ PSM.

#### 2.4.2 Schema

Listed in Appendix C.2, the  $\tau$ PSM schemas are derived from the schemas of PSM. We extend each table with a simple temporal statement modifier (i.e., ALTER TABLE ADD VALIDTIME ...) to make the table time-varying. In addition, we extend each primary key to include the begin\_time column and extend each assertion to be a sequenced assertion, thereby applying independently at each point in time.

The schema for  $\tau$ PSM-\*Mapped is mapped from the temporal domain to the non-temporal domain (since DBMSes do not yet support the statement modifiers of  $\tau$ PSM), by removing ALTER TABLE ADD VALIDTIME keywords from the table creation commands.

The schema for  $\tau$ PSM-\*Mapped-DB2 is mapped to meet the syntax requirements of DB2.

**Validation.** As we only added simple statement modifiers to the schemas, no validation is required. We have modified the  $\tau$ PSM schemas to satisfy DB2 syntax.

#### 2.4.3 Workload

We define 85 queries for  $\tau$ PSM that correspond to the 17 queries in the PSM benchmark: 51 sequenced queries (a short period, medium period, and long period sequenced query for each of the original 17), 17 non-sequenced, and

---

```

CREATE ASSERTION number_authors VALIDTIME CHECK
(NOT EXISTS (SELECT IA.item_id, count(*) cnt
FROM item_author IA
HAVING count(*) > 4))

```

---

Figure 11: Cardinality constraint C5 of the  $\tau$ PSM benchmark schema.

---

```

-----
-- Q2:
-- Find the title of the item which has matching author
-- first name (Ben).
-- Feature:
-- SET with SELECT single row
-----
CREATE FUNCTION get_author_name(aid CHARACTER(10))
RETURNS CHARACTER(50)
READS SQL DATA
LANGUAGE SQL
BEGIN
  DECLARE fname CHARACTER(50);
  SET fname = (SELECT first_name FROM author WHERE author_id = aid);
  RETURN fname;
END;

VALIDTIME SELECT i.title
FROM item i, item_author ia
WHERE i.id = ia.item_id
AND get_author_name(ia.author_id) = 'Ben';

```

---

Figure 12: Query Q2 of the  $\tau$ PSM benchmark.

17 current. The queries are derived directly from the PSM queries by adding simple temporal statement modifiers (i.e., `VALIDTIME` for sequenced queries, `NONSEQUENCED VALIDTIME` for non-sequenced queries, and no change for current queries) to each of the queries.

The workload for four  $\tau$ PSM-\*Mapped variants are the result of an automated transformation, using the previously-mentioned Maximally-Fragmented and Per-Statement slicing techniques [19, 39], expressed in TXL, to create 170 queries in total.

We have translated the queries in to conform to the DB2 syntax requirements, as depicted in Appendix E. Figure 13 shows the sequenced Q2 after the translation.

**Validation.** Since we only add statement modifiers, no validation is required.

---

```

-----
-- Q2:
-- Find the title of the item which has matching author
-- first name (Ben).
-- Feature:
-- SET with SELECT single row
-----
CREATE FUNCTION db2_orig_get_author_name(aid CHARACTER(10))
RETURNS CHARACTER(50)
NO EXTERNAL ACTION
F1: BEGIN
  DECLARE fname CHARACTER(50);
  SET fname = (SELECT first_name FROM author WHERE author_id = aid);
  RETURN fname;
END

VALIDTIME SELECT i.title
FROM item i, item_author ia
WHERE i.id = ia.item_id
AND db2_orig_get_author_name(ia.author_id) = 'Ben';

```

---

Figure 13: Query Q2 of the  $\tau$ PSM-DB2 benchmark.



---

```

<item target="catalog/item"> ...
  <nonSeqCardinality name="bookAuthorsNSeq" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="6" dimension="validTime"
    evaluationWindow="year" slideSize="year">
    <selector xpath="." />
    <field xpath="authors/author" />
  </nonSeqCardinality>
</item>

```

---

Figure 14: The non-sequenced cardinality constraint (C5) of  $\tau$ XSchema’s XML Schema.

## 2.5 The $\tau$ XSchema Benchmark

$\tau$ XSchema (Temporal XML Schema) is a language and set of tools that enable the construction and validation of temporal XML documents [13, 15, 24, 38, 41].  $\tau$ XSchema extends XML Schema [30, 31] with the ability to define temporal element types. A temporal element type denotes that an element can vary over time, describes how to associate temporal elements across slices (or snapshots, which are individual versions of a document), and provides some temporal constraints that broadly characterize how a temporal element can change over time.

In  $\tau$ XSchema, any element type can be rendered as temporal by including in the schema a simple logical annotation stating whether an element or attribute varies over valid time or transaction time, whether its lifetime is described as a continuous state or a single event, whether the item itself may appear at certain times and not at others, and whether its content changes. So a  $\tau$ XSchema document is just a conventional XML Schema document with a few annotations.

$\tau$ XSchema provides a suite of tools to construct and validate temporal documents. A temporal document is validated by combining a conventional validating parser with a temporal constraint checker. To validate a temporal document, a temporal schema is first converted to a representational schema, which is a conventional XML Schema document that describes how the temporal information is represented. A conventional validating parser is then used to validate the temporal document against the representational schema. Then, the temporal constraint checker is used to validate any additional temporal constraints specified by the user in the temporal schema.

We have created the  $\tau$ XSchema benchmark to test the functionality and performance of  $\tau$ XSchema.

### 2.5.1 Schema

The schemas are the same as those in  $\tau$ XBench, augmented with seven additional manually-specified constraints. These constraints provide a simple but comprehensive set for testing the four types of XML constraints (referential integrity, data type, identity, and cardinality) with the various time modifiers (sequenced and non-sequenced). For example, one of the additional constraints specifies that “over a period of a year, an item may have up to 6 authors,” exercising a non-sequenced cardinality constraint.

For example, Figure 14 shows the non-sequenced constraint: “*In any given year, an <item> may have up to six <authors>s*”. The constraint is specified using the syntax of  $\tau$ XSchema [13]. The constraint uses the `minOccurs` and `maxOccurs` attributes of the `<nonSeqCardinality>` element to give value bounds for the cardinality constraint. Additionally, the `dimension` attribute specifies the time dimension (valid time or transaction time), the `evaluationWindow` attribute gives the time window over which the constraint should be checked, and the `slideSize` attribute gives the size of slide between each successive evaluation window. In this case, since `evaluationWindow` and `slideSize` are both set to “year”, the constraint is checked once for each calendar year. Should the `slideSize` have been set to, say, one day, then the constraint would be checked 364 times per calendar year: once for the fiscal year starting on January 1, once for the fiscal year starting January 2, etc.

**Validation.** As the conventional schema is duplicated, it does not require validation.

The temporal XML schema is the same as the temporal XML schema for  $\tau$ XBench, except that it has some additional sequenced and non-sequenced constraints. These constraints are defined in the  $\tau$ XSchema language [13], and thus are validated automatically by the  $\tau$ XSchema tool suite.

Similar to the  $\tau$ XBench benchmark, the representational schema for  $\tau$ XSchema is automatically generated from the  $\tau$ XSchema tool suite, and thus does not require manual validation.

	Type	Defined	Description
C1	Cardinality	Implicitly	The <code>&lt;related.items&gt;</code> subelement of <code>&lt;item&gt;</code> must occur exactly once.
C2	Cardinality	Implicitly	The <code>&lt;authors&gt;</code> subelement of <code>&lt;item&gt;</code> must occur exactly once.
C3	Cardinality	Implicitly	The <code>&lt;publisher&gt;</code> subelement of <code>&lt;item&gt;</code> must occur exactly once.
C4	Cardinality	Explicitly	There must be at least one <code>&lt;item&gt;</code> element.
C5	Cardinality	Explicitly	The <code>&lt;authors&gt;</code> element must have between 1 and 4 <code>&lt;author&gt;</code> subelements.
C6	Identity	Explicitly	The <code>id</code> attribute of <code>&lt;author&gt;</code> must be unique.
C7	Cardinality	Explicitly	The <code>&lt;FAX.number&gt;</code> subelement of <code>&lt;publisher&gt;</code> must occur 0 or 1 times.
C8	Cardinality	Explicitly	The <code>&lt;related.item&gt;</code> subelement of <code>&lt;related.items&gt;</code> must occur between 0 and 5 times.
C9	Cardinality	Explicitly	The <code>&lt;street.address&gt;</code> subelement of <code>&lt;street.information&gt;</code> cat occur 1 or 2 times.

Table 6: The implicit and explicit schema constraints in the XBench benchmark.

	Time	Type	Description
C1	Sequenced	Cardinality	An <code>&lt;item&gt;</code> must have between 1 and 4 <code>&lt;author&gt;</code> s.
C2	Sequenced	Referential Integrity	A <code>&lt;related.item&gt;</code> should refer to a valid <code>&lt;item&gt;</code> .
C3	Sequenced	Identity	The <code>&lt;ISBN&gt;</code> of an <code>&lt;item&gt;</code> are unique.
C4	Sequenced	Data type	The <code>&lt;number.of.pages&gt;</code> of an <code>&lt;item&gt;</code> must be of type short.
C5	Non-sequenced	Cardinality	Over a period of a year, an <code>&lt;item&gt;</code> may have up to 6 <code>&lt;author&gt;</code> s.
C6	Non-sequenced	Referential Integrity	A <code>&lt;related.item&gt;</code> should refer to a valid <code>&lt;item&gt;</code> (possibly not currently in print).
C7	Non-sequenced	Identity	An <code>&lt;item&gt;</code> <code>item.id</code> is unique and may not ever be re-used.

Table 7: The additional schema constraints in the  $\tau$ XSchema benchmark.

## 2.5.2 Data

The data is the same as the data in  $\tau$ XBench.

## 2.5.3 Workload

Because  $\tau$ XSchema is a schema language and tool system, and because the main goal of testing such a system is to test its schema constraints, there is no workload in this benchmark. (In effect, the schema *is* the workload, and a microbenchmark at that.)




Figure 15: Overview of the  $\tau$ Generator process.

### 3 Supporting Tools

To facilitate the creation and validation of the individual benchmarks in  $\tau$ Bench, we have developed a suite of tools that generate temporal data, validate the data in various ways, and validate the correctness of the queries. The tool suite, along with the rest of  $\tau$ Bench, is available online [42] and is distributed according to the terms of the GNU Lesser General Public License [18].

The message of this section is that tools are some of the most important glue connecting the benchmarks together into a framework. Some of the tools map a component, e.g., data or workload, in one benchmark into another, creating that component from hole cloth. Other tools perform consistency checks *within* a benchmark. Tools that perform cross-validation are especially critical, in that they ensure consistency between say the data components of two related benchmarks in the framework. Such validation leverage the mapping and within-benchmark consistency checking tools, because all the tools much work correctly for the end result to validate. These tools in concert thus tied the benchmarks together into a coherent whole, raising the quality of all of the individual benchmarks within the framework.

#### 3.1 $\tau$ Generator

$\tau$ Generator is a simulation that creates XML temporal data from the non-temporal XML data (Figure 15). To create the temporal data,  $\tau$ Generator consists of a user-specified number of *time steps* along with a user-specified number of *changes* to the data within each time step. At each time step, a subset of the elements from the original document are changed, creating a new *slice* of the temporal document. The simulation continues until all of the required changes are made.

##### 3.1.1 Simulation Description

We designate the `<item>`, `<author>`, `<publisher>`, and `<related_items>` elements of the DC/SD XBench XML data to be *temporal elements*, that is, their values can change over time. The overall goal of the simulation is to randomly change, at specified time intervals, the content of these four temporal elements. The simulation consists of the following four steps.

1. *Setup.* The simulation reads the user-created parameters file to initialize the simulation parameters. The simulation then uses DOM [46] to load the user-specified XBench document (in this case, `catalog.xml`) into memory. The simulation then creates an internal representation of the temporal items (all instances of the four temporal elements), which at this point consist of only a single version.

2. *Initial snapshot selection.* The simulation selects a user-specified percentage of `<item>` temporal elements (and all of their sub-elements) for the initial slice. The simulation selects the elements uniformly at random from `catalog.xml`. Elements that are selected are called *active*; those that are not selected for the initial slice are called *inactive* and put into a pool for later use.

The simulation sets the begin and end time of the first (and only, at this point) version of each selected temporal element to the user-specified begin date and the user-specified forever date, respectively.

3. *Time step loop.* The simulation increases the current time by the user-specified time step. The simulation then:

- Randomly selects a set of active elements to change, based on user-specified parameters.

- Changes the selected elements, as well as adds new elements and deletes existing elements, based on user-specified parameters. New elements, and the new values for changed elements, come from the pool of inactive elements.
- Outputs the current slice in XML format. A temporal document, i.e., all slices merged together, is also maintained.
- Checks the stop criteria of the simulation. If the simulation has exceeded the user-specified number of necessary changes, the simulation exits the time step loop and continues to Step 4. Otherwise, it returns to Step 3.

4. *Output.* The simulation outputs the final temporal document.

### 3.1.2 Maintaining the Original Schema Constraints

During the above simulation process, it is important to maintain the original constraints defined and implied in the DC/SD XBench XML schema. This will ensure that the generated data validates to the sequenced semantics of the original schema. In addition, we add additional checks in the simulation to maintain the set of non-sequenced constraints in the  $\tau$ XSchema benchmark.

We need not consider the original data type constraints, because the simulation does not change the type of data at any point. Similarly, we need not consider identity constraints (which ensure, for example, that the item’s `id` attribute is globally unique) because the simulation does not generate any `ids` of its own—all items keep their originally-assigned `ids`. Thus, as long as the original data is correct, this portion of the generated data will also be correct.

However, we do need to consider the referential integrity constraint between item `ids` and related items. Since only a subset of items are active at any given time, there is no guarantee that related items will point to active item `ids`. Thus, the simulation must provide this guarantee explicitly. The simulation satisfies this by adding a check at the end of each time step. The check builds a list of all active item `ids`. Then, for any currently active related items that refer to an item `id` not in the list, the simulation replaces the value with an `id` in the list. Note that this ensures both sequenced and non-sequenced referential integrity constraints between item `ids` and related items.

We also need to consider the cardinality constraints placed on authors. Since the simulation has the ability to add new authors and delete existing authors at any point in time, the simulation must be careful not to violate the `maxOccurs` and `minOccurs` constraints in the original schema. This is true for both the sequenced (i.e., at any given time, an item must have between 1 and 4 authors) and non-sequenced (i.e., in any given year, an item can have up to 6 authors) variants of this constraint. The simulation achieves these by maintaining an author counter for each item and each time period. For the sequenced constraint, the counter is incremented and decremented as the simulation adds or removes authors. For the non-sequenced, the counter is only incremented when an author is inserted. Finally, when an item is selected by the simulation to insert or remove an author, the simulation first consults this counter; if the counter indicates that such an action will violate a constraint, the simulation chooses another item to change. The simulation will continue trying to choose a viable author for a predefined number of iterations, and then will halt to avoid infinite loops.

In this way, the resulting temporal data is made consistent for both sequenced and non-sequenced constraints.

### 3.1.3 Shredding XML Data into Relations

As mentioned above, we have created a tool to shred the XBench DC/SD data into six relations. If the data to be shredded is temporal, the resulting relations will have two additional columns representing the begin and end time for each row.

### 3.1.4 Input

Table 8 lists the names of the input parameters for  $\tau$ Generator along with a brief description of each. The user places the input parameters and their desired values into a *parameters* file, which is a conventional text file. The parameter file should have one *parameter-value pair* on each line. A parameter-value pair is a line containing the name of a parameter, some white space, and the desired value of the parameter. For example, to set the value of the `TIME_STEP` parameter to 15 days, then the following line should appear somewhere the parameters file.

```
TIME_STEP 15
```

Note that order is not important in the parameters file, and if a parameter is listed more than once, then the last instance will be used. If a parameter is not listed in the parameters file, then the parameter takes the default value specified in Table 8. Comment lines are also allowed, which are preceded with the ‘#’ character:

```
# This is a comment line.
```

Blank lines and extra white space are allowed:

```
# This is line 1.
```

```
# This is line 3.
```

An example parameters file is listed in Appendix D.

### 3.1.5 Output

$\tau$ Generator has the ability to output a temporal XML document and corresponding shredded relations into a user-specified output directory. If the the user-specified slice output parameters are set, then at each time step,  $\tau$ Generator will also output the current version of each of the six files.

The output files are summarized is Table 9.

### 3.1.6 Compilation and Usage

$\tau$ Generator has been made publicly available on the TimeCenter website [44]. The Xerces [50] library is also required and comes bundled with the  $\tau$ Generator distribution.

$\tau$ Generator ships with the following files.

- `README.txt`. A helper file that indicates how to compile and run  $\tau$ Generator.
- `tGenerator.java`. The single source code file.
- `parameters.txt`. A sample parameters file.
- `catalog.xml`. A sample bookstore catalog generated by XBench (10MB).
- `xerces-2.2.1.jar`. The Xerces distribution.

Once downloaded,  $\tau$ Generator can be compiled with the following command.

```
javac tGenerator.java -cp ./xerces-2.2.1.jar
```

Or simply:

```
compile
```

This will create a Java class file named `tGenerator.class` in the current directory.

$\tau$ Bench can be executed with the following command.

```
java -cp ./xerces-2.2.1.jar:. tGenerator parameters.txt
```

The format of the `parameters.txt` file is given in Section 3.1.4. For larger scenarios of XBench, the memory of the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) needs to be increased. The following command, for example, will provide the JVM with 28 gigabytes of memory.

```
java -Xmx28g -cp ./xerces-2.2.1.jar:. tGenerator parameters.txt
```

We have found that running  $\tau$ Generator with XBench’s small (10MB) and normal (100MB) class sizes requires less than 2GB of memory while the large (1GB) class requires 28GB of memory. We have not yet tested the huge (10GB) class.

A sample `catalog.xml` and parameters file is bundled with the  $\tau$ Generator distribution.

## 3.2 Validation Tools

We have developed several tools to help us validate our translations and simulations.

### 3.2.1 Validating the Output of $\tau$ Generator

We have created a tool which validates the XML slices generated by  $\tau$ Generator against their XML schema using XMLLINT [25]. In addition, the tool validates the generated temporal document against its temporal schema using  $\tau$ XMLLINT [13]. These checks ensure that each generated slice is well-formed and consistent with the schema constraints, and that the generated temporal document is well-formed and consistent with the sequenced and non-sequenced semantics of the conventional schema.

### 3.2.2 Corrupting Data

Constraints should be tested on both valid and invalid data. We thus corrupt the data generated by  $\tau$ Generator as a testing phase to determine if the validators and associated schemas can detect the corruptions. We have developed a tool, called  $\tau$ Corruptor, that takes as input a temporal XML document and outputs another temporal XML document which is identical as the input document except for one *victim* element. The victim element is modified to directly contradict one of the constraints specified in the original schema.

Specifically, the input into  $\tau$ Corruptor is a temporal XML document generated by  $\tau$ Generator, as well as a integer parameter specifying which of the seven temporal constraints to invalidate.

1. Sequenced cardinality. Duplicates an entire author four times (but keeps each author `id` unique).
2. Sequenced referential integrity. Changes a related item's `item_id` attribute to a random string.
3. Sequenced identity. Copies the `ISBN` of one item to another.
4. Sequenced datatype. Changes the number of pages to a random string.
5. Non-sequenced identity. Copies the `id` of an item  $i_1$  at time  $t_1$  to the `id` of an item  $i_2$  at time  $t_2$ , where  $i_1 \neq i_2$  and  $t_1 \neq t_2$ .
6. Non-sequenced referential integrity. Changes a related item's `item_id` to a random string.
7. Non-sequenced cardinality. Duplicates an entire `<author_RepItem>` six times (but keeps each author `id` unique).

$\tau$ Corruptor will randomly select a victim node and apply the changes specified by the input. It will then output the modified temporal XML document.

$\tau$ Corruptor can be compiled with the following command.

```
javac tCorruptor.java -cp ./xerces-2.2.1.jar
```

Or simply:

```
compile
```

This will create a Java class file named `tCorruptor.class` in the current directory.

$\tau$ Bench can be executed with the following command.

```
java -cp ./xerces-2.2.1.jar:. tCorruptor input.xml actionCode
```

### 3.2.3 Checking Primary Keys of Shredded Relations

We have created a tool that checks each shredded relation to ensure that each primary key is unique across the relation. It does so by building a hash table of primary keys in each relation. If a row is encountered whose key is already defined in the hash table, then the tool reports an error. Relations whose primary key are defined across multiple columns require multi-dimensional hash tables.

### 3.2.4 Checking Referential Integrity of Shredded Relations

We have created a tool that checks each shredded relation to ensure that each referential integrity constraint is valid across the relation. It does so by first reading the entire relation and creating a hash table of the referenced primary keys. A second pass ensures that each value in a foreign key column is defined in the hash table.

### 3.2.5 Comparing XML Slices to the Temporal XML Document

We have created a tool that ensures that the generated temporal XML document and the corresponding XML slices are consistent. The last tool makes use of UNSQUASH, which is part of the  $\tau$ XSchema tool suite [13]. UNSQUASH extracts each XML slice from a given temporal XML document. Our tool then compares each generated slice with each extracted slice using X-Diff [48] to ensure that they are equivalent. If all the slices are equivalent, then our tool reports that the temporal XML document and generated slices are equivalent.

### 3.2.6 Testing Queries

*Query Executor* is a tool providing a simple way to quickly execute multiple queries for a variety of DBMSes. After the DBMS and password is entered the GUI will display a list of active query directories and allow for additional directories to be added, or for old directories to be removed. Each query directory contains a parameters file (`.queryexecutor`) that specifies a connection to the appropriate database. Queries within the selected directory appear in a separate pane and the tool allows a query to be run individually, all of the queries within the directory to be run, or a selected group of the queries to be executed. The results of each query are stored in files and Query Executor can run a diff on the last two executions. If one or more of the previous two runs was of more than a single query the diff will be performed only on the queries from both runs that have the same query number. Query Executor also allows for the results of any run or diff to be exported to a file. This tool has proven very convenient for developing the queries within each benchmark.

### 3.2.7 Comparing XQuery and PSM Queries

We have created a tool that performs a pair of queries and compares their output. First, it runs an XQuery query on the non-temporal XML data and saves the output. Then, it runs the corresponding PSM query on the shredded relational data and saves the output. Since the output formats are different, the tool reformats the PSM output to be of the same format as the XQuery output. If the two results are textually identical, then the queries achieved the same functionality.

This tool is part of our larger commutativity validation process. *Commutativity validation* compares, for example, the output of performing a temporal query on a temporal database with the output of performing a conventional query on a slice of the temporal database (Figure 16). Such a comparison simultaneously validates several tasks and tools: slicing the temporal database; executing temporal queries; slicing temporal relations; and executing conventional queries. Only if all of these steps are working perfectly will the results be the same, thus a strong level of validation is ensured. This cross-validation relies on snapshot equivalence and temporal upward compatibility [5], as well as the sequenced semantics [8, 37], which relate in very simple ways non-temporal and temporal data, queries, and constraints.




Figure 16: Commutativity validation.



Variable Name	Description	Default
INPUT_FILE	The string name of the input file (produced by XBench).	catalog.xml
OUTPUT_DIR	The string name of the directory in which to place the generated output files.	output
OUTPUT_XML	A flag (0 or 1) indicating if the simulation should output the temporal XML file when the simulation ends.	1
OUTPUT_RELATIONS	A flag (0 or 1) indicating if the simulation should output the shredded relations when the simulation ends.	0
OUTPUT_XML_SLICES	A flag (0 or 1) indicating if the simulation should output the XML slice at each time step.	0
OUTPUT_RELATION_SLICES	A flag (0 or 1) indicating if the simulation should output the shredded relations at each time step.	0
INITIAL_PERCENTAGE	The positive floating-point percentage of elements in INPUT_FILE to be placed in the initial slice.	10
START_TIME	The starting date of the simulation.	2010-01-01
FOREVER_TIME	The date of “forever”; a time not reachable.	2099-12-31
TIME_STEP	The number of days between time steps.	7
REQUIRED_CHANGES	The positive integer number of changes before the simulation terminates.	100
REQUIRED_SLICES	The positive integer number of slices before the simulation terminates. If non-zero, overrides REQUIRED_CHANGES.	0
ITEM_TIME_STEP_INSERTS	The non-negative integer number of <item>s that are inserted at each time step.	1
ITEM_TIME_STEP_DELETES	The non-negative integer number of <item>s that are deleted at each time step.	1
ITEM_TIME_STEP_UPDATES	The non-negative integer number of <item>s that are updated at each time step.	1
AUTHOR_TIME_STEP_INSERTS	The non-negative integer number of <author>s that are inserted at each time step.	1
AUTHOR_TIME_STEP_DELETES	The non-negative integer number of <author>s that are deleted at each time step.	1
AUTHOR_TIME_STEP_UPDATES	The non-negative integer number of <author>s that are updated at each time step.	1
PUBLISHER_TIME_STEP_DELETES_INSERTS	The non-negative integer number of <publisher>s that are deleted/inserted at each time step.	1
RELATED_TIME_STEP_DELETES_INSERTS	The non-negative integer number of <related.item>s that are deleted/inserted at each time step.	1
SELECTION_TYPE	The string name of the distribution for selecting elements to change; options are gaussian or uniform.	uniform
SELECTION_STDDEV	For SELECTION_TYPE of gaussian, the floating-point positive standard deviation of the distribution. (The mean is set by the simulation to half of the number of elements initially selected.)	10.0
NULL_VALUE	The string value to use for NULL columns when shredding the XML documents into CSV.	null

Table 8: The inputs into  $\tau$ Generator.

File Name	Format	Description
output.final.xml	XML	Contains the final version (i.e., all slices) of the temporal XML document.
output.t.xml	XML	Contains the slice at time $t$ of the temporal XML document.
*.final.csv <sup>1</sup>	CSV	Contains the final version of the shredded relations (i.e., each row is a tuple and each column is an attribute of that tuple).
*.t.csv <sup>1</sup>	CSV	Contains the slice at time $t$ of the shredded relations.

<sup>1</sup> \* = {item, author, publisher, related.items, item.author, and item.publisher}

Table 9: The output files of  $\tau$ Generator.



## 4 Conclusions and Future Research

In this report, we have introduced  $\tau$ Bench, a benchmark framework for evaluating temporal schema and query languages on XML and relational data [43]. Although  $\tau$ Bench spans many technologies (from XML Schema to Temporal SQL/PSM) and is used by several distinct projects, the benchmarks are easy to understand and creating new, related benchmarks is straightforward and reliable; this is one of the powers of benchmark frameworks. An early implementation of  $\tau$ Bench is available on our project website [42]. Our experience with this framework is that it was *easier* to develop than a family of only loosely related benchmarks, due to extensive sharing of components and tools between constituent benchmarks.

The  $\tau$ Bench benchmark suite currently consists of ten benchmarks, each consisting of schemas, datasets, and workloads. The  $\tau$ Bench tool suite, which was built to aid the construction and validation of the benchmark framework, consists of a temporal data generation tool ( $\tau$ Generator), a data corruption tool for testing purposes ( $\tau$ Corruptor), and a set of scripts that validate the generated data in various ways.

The resulting  $\tau$ Bench suite is an ecosystem of related benchmarks. In this ecosystem, components of existing benchmarks flow into the construction of new benchmarks, all the while being validated by several measures. As each new benchmark is constructed and validated, the foundational benchmarks gain strength because their components are *again* being validated, this time in a new light. And while all the benchmarks are tightly interconnected (in that they are derived from each other and share data, schemas, and workloads), their use in research and industry is completely independent (in that any single benchmark can be used without any of the others).

Future work for  $\tau$ Bench includes defining additional benchmarks for new and existing database technologies, and extending the datasets to include other categories of XBench datasets. We also want to use suitable language-to-language translators when they become available to create *executable* workloads for  $\tau$ XBench-Galax and  $\tau$ PSM-DB2, to enable validation of these workloads.

Future work for  $\tau$ Generator includes:

- Addressing the inefficiency of DOM. The execution time of some routines in  $\tau$ Generator could be vastly improved with a better use of DOM iterators. Also, the memory requirements of  $\tau$ Generator should be addressed by reusing data structures where possible.
- Porting to  $\tau$ DOM [26].  $\tau$ DOM contains temporal support for manipulating DOM objects in memory. Much of the internal complexities of  $\tau$ Generator could be reduced with the use of  $\tau$ DOM.
- Considering other output categories of XBench. Currently,  $\tau$ Generator is built on the DC/SD category of XBench output, but the other three may also be useful. This would involve generalizing the internals of  $\tau$ Generator.

Finally, we encourage the XBench, SPEC and TPC organizations to consider refining their respective benchmark families into more structured and more convenient benchmark frameworks.



## References

- [1] L. Afanasiev, I. Manolescu, and P. Michiels. MemBeR: A micro-benchmark repository for XQuery. In S. Bressan, S. Ceri, E. Hunt, Z. Ives, Z. Bellahsene, M. Rys, and R. Unland, editors, *Database and XML Technologies*, volume 3671 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 578–578. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2005.
- [2] T. Amagasa, M. Yoshikawa, and S. Uemura. A data model for temporal XML documents. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Database and Expert Systems Applications*, pages 334–344, 2000.
- [3] T. Amagasa, M. Yoshikawa, and S. Uemura. Realizing temporal XML repositories using temporal relational databases. In *The Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Cooperative Database Systems for Advanced Applications*, pages 60–64, 2001.
- [4] M. Arenas, P. Barcelo, and L. Libkin. Combining temporal logics for querying XML documents. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Database Theory*, pages 359–73, Barcelona, Spain, 2006.
- [5] J. Bair, M. H. Böhlen, C. S. Jensen, and R. T. Snodgrass. Notions of upward compatibility of temporal query languages. *Business Informatics (Wirtschafts Informatik)*, 39(1):25–34, 1997.
- [6] D. Barbosa, A. Mendelzon, J. Keenleyside, and K. Lyons. ToXgene: A template-based data generator for XML. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data*, page 616, 2002.
- [7] A. Belussi, C. Combi, S. Migliorini, and B. Oliboni. A geographic, multimedia, and temporal data model for semistructured data. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Workshop on Database and Expert Systems Applications*, pages 463–467, 2005.
- [8] M. H. Böhlen, C. S. Jensen, and R. T. Snodgrass. Temporal statement modifiers. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems*, 25(4):407–456, 2000.
- [9] T. Böhme and E. Rahm. XMach-1: A benchmark for XML data management. In *Proceedings of German Database Conference BTW2001*, pages 264–273, March 2001.
- [10] Z. Brahmia and R. Bouaziz. Schema versioning in multi-temporal XML databases. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Computer and Information Science*, pages 158–164, 2008.
- [11] S. Bressan, M. Li Lee, Y. Guang Li, Z. Lacroix, and U. Nambiar. The XOO7 benchmark. *Efficiency and Effectiveness of XML Tools and Techniques and Data Integration over the Web*, pages 146–147, 2003.
- [12] J. Cordy, C. Halpern-Hamu, and E. Promislow. TXL: A rapid prototyping system for programming language dialects. *Computer Languages*, 16(1):97–107, 1991.
- [13] F. Currim, S. Currim, C. Dyreson, S. Joshi, R. T. Snodgrass, S. W. Thomas, and E. Roeder.  $\tau$ XSchema: Support for Data- and Schema-Versioned XML Documents. *TimeCenter*, 2009. TR-91.
- [14] F. Currim, S. Currim, C. Dyreson, R. T. Snodgrass, S. W. Thomas, and R. Zhang. Adding temporal constraints to XML schema. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 24:1361–1377, August 2012.
- [15] F. Currim, S. Currim, C. E. Dyreson, and R. T. Snodgrass. A tale of two schemas: Creating a temporal XML schema from a snapshot schema with  $\tau$ XSchema. In *9th International Conference on Extending Database Technology*, pages 559–560, 2004.
- [16] C. Date and H. Darwen. *A Guide to the SQL Standard*. Addison-Wesley New York, 1987.
- [17] M. Franceschet. XPathMark: An XPath benchmark for XMark generated data. In *Proceedings of the International XML Database Symposium*, pages 129–143, 2005.
- [18] Free Software Foundation. GNU General Public License, 2010. <http://www.gnu.org/licenses>, Viewed October 2, 2010.

- [19] D. Gao. *Supporting the procedural component of query languages over time-varying data*. PhD thesis, University of Arizona, 2009.
- [20] D. Gao and R. T. Snodgrass. Syntax, semantics, and evaluation in the  $\tau$ XQuery temporal XML query language. Technical Report Technical Report TR-72, TimeCenter, February 2003.
- [21] D. Gao and R. T. Snodgrass. Temporal slicing in the evaluation of XML queries. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Very Large Data Bases*, pages 632–643, 2003.
- [22] X. GCIDE. The GNU version of the collaborative international dictionary of English, presented in the extensible markup language, 2002.
- [23] IBM DB2. Official Website, <http://www-01.ibm.com/software/data/db2>. Viewed October 1, 2010.
- [24] S. Joshi.  $\tau$ XSchema - support for data- and schema-versioned XML documents. Master’s thesis, Computer Science Department, University of Arizona, August 2007.
- [25] Libxml. The XML C parser and toolkit of Gnome, version 2.7.2, 2008. <http://xmlsoft.org/>, Viewed February 5, 2009.
- [26] H. Liu. tDOM: Time-aware APIs for managing temporal XML documents. Technical Report Technical Report TR-72, TimeCenter, 2003.
- [27] L. McVoy and C. Staelin. lmbench: Portable tools for performance analysis. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on USENIX*, pages 23–23, 1996.
- [28] J. Melton. Understanding SQL’s stored procedures: A complete guide to SQL/PSM. *Morgan Kaufmann Series In Data Management Systems*, 1998.
- [29] D. Menascé. TPC-W: A benchmark for e-commerce. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 6(3):83–87, 2002.
- [30] N. Mendelsohn. XML Schema part 1: Structures second edition, October 2004. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1/>, viewed November 25, 2011.
- [31] N. Mendelsohn. XML Schema part 2: Datatypes second edition, October 2004. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2/>, viewed November 25, 2011.
- [32] M. Nicola, I. Kogan, and B. Schiefer. An XML transaction processing benchmark. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data*, pages 937–948, 2007.
- [33] M. Ozsu and B. Yao. Evaluation of DBMSs using Xbench benchmark. Technical Report CS-TR-2003-24, School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo, 2003.
- [34] K. Runapongsa, J. M. Patel, H. V. Jagadish, Y. Chen, and S. Al-Khalifa. The Michigan benchmark: towards XML query performance diagnostics. *Information Systems*, 31:73–97, 2006.
- [35] A. Schmidt, F. Waas, M. L. Kersten, M. J. Carey, I. Manolescu, and R. Busse. XMark: A benchmark for XML data management. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases*, pages 974–985, 2002.
- [36] J. Shanmugasundaram, E. Shekita, J. Kiernan, R. Krishnamurthy, E. Viglas, J. Naughton, and I. Tatarnov. A general technique for querying XML documents using a relational database system. *SIGMOD Rec.*, 30(3):20–26, 2001.
- [37] R. T. Snodgrass. *Developing time-oriented database applications in SQL*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 2000.
- [38] R. T. Snodgrass, C. Dyreson, F. Currim, S. Currim, and S. Joshi. Validating quicksand: Temporal schema versioning in  $\tau$ XSchema. *Data Knowledge Engineering*, 65(2):223–242, 2008.
- [39] R. T. Snodgrass, D. Gao, R. Zhang, and S. W. Thomas. Temporal support for persistent stored modules. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Data Engineering*, to appear, April 2012.

- [40] SQL Standards. Part 2: Foundation. Technical Report ISO/IEC 9075-2:2011, December 2011.
- [41] S. W. Thomas. The implementation and evaluation of temporal representations in XML. Master's thesis, Computer Science Department, University of Arizona, March 2009.
- [42] S. W. Thomas, 2011. <http://www.cs.arizona.edu/projects/tau/tBench>.
- [43] S. W. Thomas, R. T. Snodgrass, and R. Zhang. Benchmark frameworks and  $\tau$ Bench. *Software: Practice and Experience*, 2013.
- [44] TimeCenter Software. <http://timecenter.cs.aau.dk/software.htm>.
- [45] W3C. Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0. <http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml>, Viewed August 25, 2008.
- [46] W3C. Document object model, 2007. <http://www.w3.org/DOM>, Viewed March 26, 2007.
- [47] W3C. XQuery 1.0: An XML query language, W3C recommendation, 2007. <http://www.w3.org/TR/xquery>, Viewed February 5, 2008.
- [48] Y. Wang, D. DeWitt, and J. Cai. X-Diff: An effective change detection algorithm for XML documents. In *Data Engineering, 2003. Proceedings. 19th International Conference on*, pages 519–530. IEEE, 2004.
- [49] E. Weiner, J. Simpson, and M. Proffitt. *Oxford English Dictionary*. Clarendon, 1993.
- [50] XERCES. Official website of Apache Xerces project, 2007. 4.4, URL <http://xerces.apache.org/xerces-j>, Viewed April 12, 2007.
- [51] B. Yao, M. Ozsu, and N. Khandelwal. XBench benchmark and performance testing of XML DBMSs. In *Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Data Engineering*, pages 621–632, 2004.
- [52] B. Yao, M. Tamer Ozsu, and J. Keenleyside. Xbench - a family of benchmarks for xml dbmss. In S. Bressan, M. Lee, A. Chaudhri, J. Yu, and Z. Lacroix, editors, *Efficiency and Effectiveness of XML Tools and Techniques and Data Integration over the Web*, volume 2590 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 162–164. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2008.





## A Temporal Data Model

For the purpose of modeling temporal data in  $\tau$ Bench, we adopt the temporal data model employed by  $\tau$ XSchema [13]. In  $\tau$ XSchema, the history of an XML document is composed of individual *slices* (or *snapshots*), which are members of a sequenced set of non-overlapping versions of a *temporal* (or *time-varying*) XML document. Each slice is associated with a *time period* during which it is valid. The time period of a slice does not overlap with the time period of any other slice.

Individual elements within the XML document that change over time are called *temporal elements*. Temporal elements are represented as *items*, which contain a series of *versions* with associated timestamps. A new version of an item is created whenever any part of the item changes, including its children (unless the changed child is itself a temporal element). Thus, to extract a slice of a temporal XML document at time  $t$ , we just select the version associated with time  $t$  of all temporal elements.

In this report, we chose to create four temporal elements out of the following four original `catalog.xml` elements: `<item>`, `<author>`, `<publisher>`, and `<related_items>`. These elements give use flexibility for defining the workloads of our benchmarks in later sections. An outline of the resulting temporal representation of Xbench's `catalog.xml` document is shown in Figure 17.

---

```
<catalog>
<item_RepItem>
  <item_Version begin="2006-01-01" end="2009-07-05">
    <item>
      ...
      <author_RepItem>
        <author_Version begin="2006-01-01" end="2007-07-05">
          <author>
            ...
          </author>
        </author_Version>
      </author_RepItem>
    </item>
  </item_Version>
  <publisher_RepItem>
    <publisher_Version begin="2006-01-01" end="2004-02-25">
      <publisher>
        ...
      </publisher>
    </publisher_Version>
  </publisher_RepItem>
  <related_items_RepItem>
    <related_items_Version begin="2006-01-01" end="2006-02-12">
      <related_items>
        ...
      </related_items>
    </related_items_Version>
  </related_items_RepItem>
  ...
</item_RepItem>
  ...
</catalog>
```

---

Figure 17: A simplified slice of the temporal `catalog.xml` document.

In  $\tau$ XSchema, the time period of all temporal subelements must be contained in the time period of their parent temporal elements. Using the example above, the time period of an `<author_Version>` must be contained in its associated `<item_Version>`.

Adopting the temporal data model used by  $\tau$ XSchema not only brings the advantages of the data model itself, but it also allows use to use the  $\tau$ XSchema tool suite to construct and validate our benchmarks.



## B XML Schemas

### B.1 Conventional XML Schema (DCSD.xsd)

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" elementFormDefault="qualified">
  <xs:element name="FAX_number" type="xs:string"/>
  <xs:element name="ISBN" type="xs:string"/>
  <xs:element name="attributes">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:all>
        <xs:element ref="ISBN"/>
        <xs:element ref="number_of_pages"/>
        <xs:element ref="type_of_book"/>
        <xs:element ref="size_of_book"/>
      </xs:all>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="author">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:all>
        <xs:element name="name">
          <xs:complexType>
            <xs:all>
              <xs:element ref="first_name"/>
              <xs:element ref="middle_name"/>
              <xs:element ref="last_name"/>
            </xs:all>
          </xs:complexType>
        </xs:element>
        <xs:element ref="date_of_birth"/>
        <xs:element ref="biography"/>
        <xs:element name="contact_information">
          <xs:complexType>
            <xs:all>
              <xs:element name="mailing_address">
                <xs:complexType>
                  <xs:all>
                    <xs:element ref="street_information"/>
                    <xs:element ref="name_of_city"/>
                    <xs:element ref="name_of_state"/>
                    <xs:element ref="zip_code"/>
                    <xs:element name="name_of_country" type="xs:string"/>
                  </xs:all>
                </xs:complexType>
              </xs:element>
              <xs:element ref="phone_number"/>
              <xs:element ref="email_address"/>
            </xs:all>
          </xs:complexType>
        </xs:element>
      </xs:all>
      <xs:attribute name="author_id" type="xs:string"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="authors">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <!-- Sequenced Cardinality: -->
        <!-- An item must have between 1 and 4 authors. -->
        <xs:element ref="author" maxOccurs="4"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="biography" type="xs:string"/>
  <xs:element name="catalog">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="item" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
    <!-- Sequenced Identify Constraint: -->
    <!-- Item ISBNs are unique. -->
    <xs:unique name="ISBNUnique">
      <xs:selector xpath="//item/attributes"/>
      <xs:field xpath="ISBN"/>
    </xs:unique>
    <!-- Sequenced Referential Integrity: -->
    <!-- A related item should refer to a valid item -->
  </xs:element>
</xs:schema>
```

```

<xs:key name="itemID">
  <xs:selector xpath="//item"/>
  <xs:field xpath="@id"/>
</xs:key>
<xs:keyref name="itemIDRef" refer="itemID">
  <xs:selector xpath="//item/related_items/related_item"/>
  <xs:field xpath="item_id"/>
</xs:keyref>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="cost">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
        <xs:attribute name="currency" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="country">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string"/>
      <xs:element ref="exchange_rate"/>
      <xs:element ref="currency"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="currency" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="data" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="date_of_birth" type="xs:date"/>
<xs:element name="date_of_release" type="xs:date"/>
<xs:element name="description" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="email_address" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="web_site" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="exchange_rate" type="xs:decimal"/>
<xs:element name="first_name" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="height">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
        <xs:attribute name="unit" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="image">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="data"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="item">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="title"/>
      <xs:element ref="authors"/>
      <xs:element ref="date_of_release"/>
      <xs:element ref="publisher"/>
      <xs:element ref="subject"/>
      <xs:element ref="description"/>
      <xs:element ref="related_items"/>
      <xs:element ref="media"/>
      <xs:element ref="pricing"/>
      <xs:element ref="attributes"/>
    </xs:all>
    <xs:attribute name="id" type="xs:ID" use="required"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="item_id" type="xs:IDREF"/>
<xs:element name="last_name" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="length">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
        <xs:attribute name="unit" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="media">

```

```

<xs:complexType>
  <xs:all>
    <xs:element ref="thumbnail"/>
    <xs:element ref="image"/>
  </xs:all>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="middle_name" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="name_of_city" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="name_of_country" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="name_of_state" type="xs:string"/>
<!-- Sequenced Datatype: -->
<!-- The number_of_pages must be of type short. -->
<xs:element name="number_of_pages" type="xs:short"/>
<xs:element name="phone_number" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="pricing">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="suggested_retail_price"/>
      <xs:element ref="cost"/>
      <xs:element ref="when_is_available"/>
      <xs:element ref="quantity_in_stock"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="publisher">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string"/>
      <xs:element name="contact_information">
        <xs:complexType>
          <xs:all>
            <xs:element name="mailing_address">
              <xs:complexType>
                <xs:all>
                  <xs:element ref="street_information"/>
                  <xs:element ref="name_of_city"/>
                  <xs:element ref="name_of_state"/>
                  <xs:element ref="zip_code"/>
                  <xs:element ref="country"/>
                </xs:all>
              </xs:complexType>
            </xs:element>
            <xs:element ref="FAX_number" minOccurs="0"/>
            <xs:element ref="phone_number"/>
            <xs:element ref="web_site"/>
          </xs:all>
        </xs:complexType>
      </xs:element>
    </xs:all>
    <xs:attribute name="publisher_id" type="xs:string"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="quantity_in_stock" type="xs:byte"/>
<xs:element name="related_item">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="item_id"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="related_items">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element ref="related_item" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="5"/>
    </xs:sequence>
    <xs:attribute name="related_items_id" type="xs:string"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="size_of_book">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="length"/>
      <xs:element ref="width"/>
      <xs:element ref="height"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="street_address" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="street_information">
  <xs:complexType>

```

```

    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element ref="street_address" maxOccurs="2"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="subject" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="suggested_retail_price">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
        <xs:attribute name="currency" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="thumbnail">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="data"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="title" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="type_of_book" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="when_is_available" type="xs:date"/>
<xs:element name="width">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
        <xs:attribute name="unit" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="zip_code" type="xs:string"/>
</xs:schema>

```

---

## B.2 Temporal XML Schema

---

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<temporalSchema xmlns="http://www.cs.arizona.edu/tau/TSSchema"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.cs.arizona.edu/tau/TSSchema/etc/TSSchema.xsd">

  <conventionalSchema>
    <include schemaLocation="DCSD.xsd" />
  </conventionalSchema>

  <annotationSet>
    <logical>
      <item target="catalog/item" />
      <item target="catalog/item/authors/author" />
      <item target="catalog/item/publisher" />
      <item target="catalog/item/related_items" />
    </logical>
    <physical>
      <default>
        <format plugin="XMLSchema" granularity="days"/>
      </default>

      <!-- default timestamps are at logical items -->
    </physical>
  </annotationSet>
</temporalSchema>
```

---





## B.3 Conventional XML Schema with Added Constraints

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" elementFormDefault="qualified">
  <xs:element name="FAX_number" type="xs:string"/>
  <xs:element name="ISBN" type="xs:string"/>
  <xs:element name="attributes">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:all>
        <xs:element ref="ISBN"/>
        <xs:element ref="number_of_pages"/>
        <xs:element ref="type_of_book"/>
        <xs:element ref="size_of_book"/>
      </xs:all>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="author">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:all>
        <xs:element name="name">
          <xs:complexType>
            <xs:all>
              <xs:element ref="first_name"/>
              <xs:element ref="middle_name"/>
              <xs:element ref="last_name"/>
            </xs:all>
          </xs:complexType>
        </xs:element>
        <xs:element ref="date_of_birth"/>
        <xs:element ref="biography"/>
        <xs:element name="contact_information">
          <xs:complexType>
            <xs:all>
              <xs:element name="mailing_address">
                <xs:complexType>
                  <xs:all>
                    <xs:element ref="street_information"/>
                    <xs:element ref="name_of_city"/>
                    <xs:element ref="name_of_state"/>
                    <xs:element ref="zip_code"/>
                    <xs:element name="name_of_country" type="xs:string"/>
                  </xs:all>
                </xs:complexType>
              </xs:element>
              <xs:element ref="phone_number"/>
              <xs:element ref="email_address"/>
            </xs:all>
          </xs:complexType>
        </xs:element>
      </xs:all>
      <xs:attribute name="author_id" type="xs:string"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="authors">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <!-- Sequenced Cardinality: -->
        <!-- An item must have between 1 and 4 authors. -->
        <xs:element ref="author" maxOccurs="4"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="biography" type="xs:string"/>
  <xs:element name="catalog">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="item" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
    <!-- Sequenced Identify Constraint: -->
    <!-- Item ISBNs are unique. -->
    <xs:unique name="ISBNUnique">
      <xs:selector xpath="./item/attributes"/>
      <xs:field xpath="ISBN"/>
    </xs:unique>
    <!-- Sequenced Referential Integrity: -->
    <!-- A related item should refer to a valid item -->
    <xs:key name="itemID">
      <xs:selector xpath="./item"/>
      <xs:field xpath="@id"/>
    </xs:key>
  </xs:element>

```

```

</xs:key>
<xs:keyref name="itemIDRef" refer="itemID">
  <xs:selector xpath="//item/related_items/related_item"/>
  <xs:field xpath="item_id"/>
</xs:keyref>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="cost">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
        <xs:attribute name="currency" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="country">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string"/>
      <xs:element ref="exchange_rate"/>
      <xs:element ref="currency"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="currency" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="data" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="date_of_birth" type="xs:date"/>
<xs:element name="date_of_release" type="xs:date"/>
<xs:element name="description" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="email_address" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="web_site" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="exchange_rate" type="xs:decimal"/>
<xs:element name="first_name" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="height">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
        <xs:attribute name="unit" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="image">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="data"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="item">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="title"/>
      <xs:element ref="authors"/>
      <xs:element ref="date_of_release"/>
      <xs:element ref="publisher"/>
      <xs:element ref="subject"/>
      <xs:element ref="description"/>
      <xs:element ref="related_items"/>
      <xs:element ref="media"/>
      <xs:element ref="pricing"/>
      <xs:element ref="attributes"/>
    </xs:all>
    <xs:attribute name="id" type="xs:ID" use="required"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="item_id" type="xs:IDREF"/>
<xs:element name="last_name" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="length">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
        <xs:attribute name="unit" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="media">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="thumbnail"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

```

```

        <xs:element ref="image"/>
    </xs:all>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="middle_name" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="name_of_city" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="name_of_country" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="name_of_state" type="xs:string"/>
<!-- Sequenced Datatype: -->
<!-- The number_of_pages must be of type short. -->
<xs:element name="number_of_pages" type="xs:short"/>
<xs:element name="phone_number" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="pricing">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:all>
            <xs:element ref="suggested_retail_price"/>
            <xs:element ref="cost"/>
            <xs:element ref="when_is_available"/>
            <xs:element ref="quantity_in_stock"/>
        </xs:all>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="publisher">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:all>
            <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string"/>
            <xs:element name="contact_information">
                <xs:complexType>
                    <xs:all>
                        <xs:element name="mailing_address">
                            <xs:complexType>
                                <xs:all>
                                    <xs:element ref="street_information"/>
                                    <xs:element ref="name_of_city"/>
                                    <xs:element ref="name_of_state"/>
                                    <xs:element ref="zip_code"/>
                                    <xs:element ref="country"/>
                                </xs:all>
                            </xs:complexType>
                        </xs:element>
                        <xs:element ref="FAX_number" minOccurs="0"/>
                        <xs:element ref="phone_number"/>
                        <xs:element ref="web_site"/>
                    </xs:all>
                </xs:complexType>
            </xs:element>
            <xs:attribute name="publisher_id" type="xs:string"/>
        </xs:complexType>
    </xs:element>
<xs:element name="quantity_in_stock" type="xs:byte"/>
<xs:element name="related_item">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:all>
            <xs:element ref="item_id"/>
        </xs:all>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="related_items">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element ref="related_item" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="5"/>
        </xs:sequence>
        <xs:attribute name="related_items_id" type="xs:string"/>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="size_of_book">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:all>
            <xs:element ref="length"/>
            <xs:element ref="width"/>
            <xs:element ref="height"/>
        </xs:all>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="street_address" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="street_information">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element ref="street_address" maxOccurs="2"/>
        </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

```

```

    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="subject" type="xs:string"/>
  <xs:element name="suggested_retail_price">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:simpleContent>
        <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
          <xs:attribute name="currency" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
        </xs:extension>
      </xs:simpleContent>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="thumbnail">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:all>
        <xs:element ref="data"/>
      </xs:all>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="title" type="xs:string"/>
  <xs:element name="type_of_book" type="xs:string"/>
  <xs:element name="when_is_available" type="xs:date"/>
  <xs:element name="width">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:simpleContent>
        <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
          <xs:attribute name="unit" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
        </xs:extension>
      </xs:simpleContent>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="zip_code" type="xs:string"/>
</xs:schema>

```

---

## B.4 Representational XML Schema

---

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  elementFormDefault="qualified"
  attributeFormDefault="unqualified">

  <xs:element name="catalog_RepItem">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="catalog_Version" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
      <xs:attribute name="isItem" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      <xs:attribute name="originalElement" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="catalog_Version">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="catalog"/>
      </xs:sequence>
      <xs:attribute name="begin" type="xs:date" use="required"/>
      <xs:attribute name="end" type="xs:date" use="required"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>

  <xs:element name="item_RepItem">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="item_Version" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
      <xs:attribute name="isItem" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      <xs:attribute name="originalElement" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="item_Version">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="item"/>
      </xs:sequence>
      <xs:attribute name="begin" type="xs:date" use="required"/>
      <xs:attribute name="end" type="xs:date" use="required"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>

  <xs:element name="author_RepItem">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="author_Version" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
      <xs:attribute name="isItem" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      <xs:attribute name="originalElement" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="author_Version">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="author"/>
      </xs:sequence>
      <xs:attribute name="begin" type="xs:date" use="required"/>
      <xs:attribute name="end" type="xs:date" use="required"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>

  <xs:element name="publisher_RepItem">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="publisher_Version" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
      <xs:attribute name="isItem" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      <xs:attribute name="originalElement" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="publisher_Version">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="publisher"/>
      </xs:sequence>
      <xs:attribute name="begin" type="xs:date" use="required"/>
      <xs:attribute name="end" type="xs:date" use="required"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
</xs:schema>
```

```

</xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

<xs:element name="related_items_RepItem">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element ref="related_items_Version" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
    <xs:attribute name="isItem" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
    <xs:attribute name="originalElement" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="related_items_Version">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element ref="related_items"/>
    </xs:sequence>
    <xs:attribute name="begin" type="xs:date" use="required"/>
    <xs:attribute name="end" type="xs:date" use="required"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

<xs:element name="FAX_number" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="ISBN" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="attributes">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="ISBN"/>
      <xs:element ref="number_of_pages"/>
      <xs:element ref="type_of_book"/>
      <xs:element ref="size_of_book"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="author">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element name="name">
        <xs:complexType>
          <xs:all>
            <xs:element ref="first_name"/>
            <xs:element ref="middle_name"/>
            <xs:element ref="last_name"/>
          </xs:all>
        </xs:complexType>
      </xs:element>
      <xs:element ref="date_of_birth"/>
      <xs:element ref="biography"/>
      <xs:element name="contact_information">
        <xs:complexType>
          <xs:all>
            <xs:element name="mailing_address">
              <xs:complexType>
                <xs:all>
                  <xs:element ref="street_information"/>
                  <xs:element ref="name_of_city"/>
                  <xs:element ref="name_of_state"/>
                  <xs:element ref="zip_code"/>
                  <xs:element name="name_of_country" type="xs:string"/>
                </xs:all>
              </xs:complexType>
            </xs:element>
            <xs:element ref="phone_number"/>
            <xs:element ref="email_address"/>
          </xs:all>
        </xs:complexType>
      </xs:element>
      <xs:attribute name="author_id" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="authors">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element ref="author_RepItem" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="biography" type="xs:string"/>

<xs:element name="temporalRoot">

```

```

<xs:complexType>
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element ref="temporalSchemaSet"/>
    <xs:element ref="catalog_RepItem"/>
  </xs:sequence>
  <xs:attribute name="begin" type="xs:string"/>
  <xs:attribute name="end" type="xs:string"/>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

<xs:element name="temporalSchemaSet">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element ref="temporalSchema" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

<xs:element name="temporalSchema">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:sequence/>
    <xs:attribute name="schemaLocation" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
    <xs:attribute name="begin" type="xs:string"/>
    <xs:attribute name="end" type="xs:string"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

<xs:element name="catalog">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element ref="item_RepItem" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

<xs:element name="cost">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
        <xs:attribute name="currency" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

<xs:element name="country">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string"/>
      <xs:element ref="exchange_rate"/>
      <xs:element ref="currency"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

<xs:element name="currency" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="data" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="date_of_birth" type="xs:date"/>
<xs:element name="date_of_release" type="xs:date"/>
<xs:element name="description" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="email_address" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="web_site" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="exchange_rate" type="xs:decimal"/>
<xs:element name="first_name" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="height">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
        <xs:attribute name="unit" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

<xs:element name="image">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="data"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

<xs:element name="item">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:choice minOccurs="10" maxOccurs="unbounded">

```

```

    <xs:element ref="title"/>
    <xs:element ref="authors"/>
    <xs:element ref="date_of_release"/>
    <xs:element ref="publisher_RepItem" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    <xs:element ref="subject"/>
    <xs:element ref="description"/>
    <xs:element ref="related_items_RepItem" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    <xs:element ref="media"/>
    <xs:element ref="pricing"/>
    <xs:element ref="attributes"/>
  </xs:choice>
  <xs:attribute name="id" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="item_id" type="xs:IDREF"/>
<xs:element name="last_name" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="length">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
        <xs:attribute name="unit" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="media">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="thumbnail"/>
      <xs:element ref="image"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="middle_name" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="name_of_city" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="name_of_country" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="name_of_state" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="number_of_pages" type="xs:short"/>
<xs:element name="phone_number" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="pricing">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element ref="suggested_retail_price"/>
      <xs:element ref="cost"/>
      <xs:element ref="when_is_available"/>
      <xs:element ref="quantity_in_stock"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="publisher">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>
      <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string"/>
      <xs:element name="contact_information">
        <xs:complexType>
          <xs:all>
            <xs:element name="mailing_address">
              <xs:complexType>
                <xs:all>
                  <xs:element ref="street_information"/>
                  <xs:element ref="name_of_city"/>
                  <xs:element ref="name_of_state"/>
                  <xs:element ref="zip_code"/>
                  <xs:element ref="country"/>
                </xs:all>
              </xs:complexType>
            </xs:element>
            <xs:element ref="FAX_number" minOccurs="0"/>
            <xs:element ref="phone_number"/>
            <xs:element ref="web_site"/>
          </xs:all>
        </xs:complexType>
      </xs:element>
    </xs:all>
    <xs:attribute name="publisher_id" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="quantity_in_stock" type="xs:byte"/>
<xs:element name="related_item">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:all>

```



```

        <xs:element ref="item_id"/>
    </xs:all>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="related_items">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element ref="related_item" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="5"/>
        </xs:sequence>
        <xs:attribute name="related_items_id" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="size_of_book">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:all>
            <xs:element ref="length"/>
            <xs:element ref="width"/>
            <xs:element ref="height"/>
        </xs:all>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="street_address" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="street_information">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element ref="street_address" maxOccurs="2"/>
        </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="subject" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="suggested_retail_price">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:simpleContent>
            <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
                <xs:attribute name="currency" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
            </xs:extension>
        </xs:simpleContent>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="thumbnail">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:all>
            <xs:element ref="data"/>
        </xs:all>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="title" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="type_of_book" type="xs:string"/>
<xs:element name="when_is_available" type="xs:date"/>
<xs:element name="width">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:simpleContent>
            <xs:extension base="xs:decimal">
                <xs:attribute name="unit" type="xs:string" use="required"/>
            </xs:extension>
        </xs:simpleContent>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="zip_code" type="xs:string"/>
</xs:schema>

```

---



## B.5 Temporal XML Schema with Added Constraints

---

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<temporalSchema xmlns="http://www.cs.arizona.edu/tau/TSSchema"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.cs.arizona.edu/tau/TSSchema/etc/TSSchema.xsd">

  <conventionalSchema>
    <include schemaLocation="DCSD.xsd" />
  </conventionalSchema>

  <annotationSet>
    <logical>

      <!-- Non-sequenced Identify Constraint: -->
      <!-- Item IDs are unique for books and may not ever be re-used. -->
      <item target="catalog"> ...
        <nonSeqKey name="bookIDKey" dimension="validTime" evaluationWindow="lifetime">
          <selector xpath="item" />
          <field xpath="@id" />
        </nonSeqKey>
      </item>

      <!-- Non-sequenced Referential Integrity: -->
      <!-- A related item should refer to a valid item
           (may not currently be an item in print). -->
      <item target="catalog/item"> ...
        <nonSeqKeyref name="relatedItemRI" refer="bookIDKey" dimension="validTime">
          <selector xpath="." />
          <field xpath="related_items/related_item/item_id" />
        </nonSeqKeyref>
      </item>

      <!-- Non-sequenced Cardinality: -->
      <!-- In any given year, an item may have up to 6 authors. -->
      <item target="catalog/item"> ...
        <nonSeqCardinality name="bookAuthorsNSeq" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="6" dimension="validTime"
          evaluationWindow="year" slideSize="year">
          <selector xpath="." />
          <field xpath="authors/author" />
        </nonSeqCardinality>
      </item>

      <item target="catalog/item/authors/author" />

      <item target="catalog/item/publisher" />

      <item target="catalog/item/related_items" />
    </logical>

    <physical>
      <default>
        <format plugin="XMLSchema" granularity="days"/>
      </default>

      <!-- default timestamps are at logical items -->
    </physical>
  </annotationSet>
</temporalSchema>
```

---



## C Relational Schemas

### C.1 Non-temporal Relational Schemas

---

```
CREATE TABLE item (  
item_id CHARACTER(10) NOT NULL,  
ISBN VARCHAR(20),  
title CHARACTER(100),  
subject VARCHAR(200),  
number_of_pages INTEGER,  
type_of_book CHARACTER(50),  
length FLOAT,  
width FLOAT,  
height FLOAT,  
suggested_retail_price DECIMAL(10,2),  
cost DECIMAL(10,2),  
when_is_available DATE,  
quantity_in_stock INTEGER,  
date_of_release DATE,  
description VARCHAR(500))  
  
ALTER TABLE item ADD PRIMARY KEY (item_id);
```

---

Figure 18: The item table creation and constraint definitions in SQL.

---

```
CREATE TABLE author(  
author_id CHARACTER(10) NOT NULL,  
first_name CHARACTER(50),  
middle_name CHARACTER(50),  
last_name CHARACTER(50),  
date_of_birth DATE,  
biography VARCHAR(500),  
street_address VARCHAR(100),  
name_of_city VARCHAR(50),  
name_of_state VARCHAR(50),  
zip_code CHARACTER(8),  
name_of_country VARCHAR(50),  
phone_number VARCHAR(50),  
email_address VARCHAR(100))  
  
ALTER TABLE item_author ADD PRIMARY KEY (author_id);
```

---

Figure 19: The author table creation and constraint definitions in SQL.

---

```
CREATE TABLE item_author (  
item_id CHARACTER(10) NOT NULL,  
author_id CHARACTER(10) NOT NULL)  
  
ALTER TABLE item_author ADD PRIMARY KEY (item_id, author_id);  
ALTER TABLE item_author ADD FOREIGN KEY (item_id) REFERENCES item(item_id);  
ALTER TABLE item_author ADD FOREIGN KEY (author_id) REFERENCES author(author_id);
```

---

Figure 20: The item\_author table creation and constraint definitions in SQL.

---

```
CREATE TABLE item_publisher (  
item_id CHARACTER(10) NOT NULL,  
publisher_id CHARACTER(10) NOT NULL)  
  
ALTER TABLE item_publisher ADD PRIMARY KEY (item_id, publisher_id);  
ALTER TABLE item_publisher ADD FOREIGN KEY (item_id) REFERENCES item(item_id);  
ALTER TABLE item_publisher ADD FOREIGN KEY (publisher_id)  
REFERENCES publisher(publisher_id);
```

---

Figure 21: The item\_publisher table creation and constraint definitions in SQL.

---

```
CREATE TABLE publisher (  
publisher_id CHARACTER(10) NOT NULL,  
name VARCHAR(100),  
street_address VARCHAR(100),  
name_of_city VARCHAR(50),  
name_of_state VARCHAR(50),  
zip_code CHARACTER(8),  
exchange_rate FLOAT,  
currency CHARACTER(50),  
phone_number VARCHAR(50),  
web_site VARCHAR(40),  
FAX_number VARCHAR(20) NOT NULL)  
  
ALTER TABLE publisher ADD PRIMARY KEY (publisher_id);
```

---

Figure 22: The publisher table creation and constraint definitions in SQL.

---

```
CREATE TABLE related_item (  
item_id CHARACTER(10) NOT NULL,  
related_id CHARACTER(10) NOT NULL)  
  
ALTER TABLE related_item ADD PRIMARY KEY (item_id, related_id);  
ALTER TABLE related_item ADD FOREIGN KEY (item_id) REFERENCES item(item_id);  
ALTER TABLE related_item ADD FOREIGN KEY (related_id) REFERENCES item(item_id);
```

---

Figure 23: The related\_items table creation and constraint definitions in SQL.

## C.2 Temporal Relational Schemas

To accommodate temporal relational data, we must add valid time columns to each relation and alter the primary keys so that they contain the `begin_time` column. Namely, the following changes must be made to the relational schemas presented in Appendix C.1.

---

```
ALTER TABLE item ADD VALIDTIME (DATE);
ALTER TABLE author ADD VALIDTIME (DATE);
ALTER TABLE publisher ADD VALIDTIME (DATE);
ALTER TABLE related_item ADD VALIDTIME (DATE);
ALTER TABLE item_author ADD VALIDTIME (DATE);
ALTER TABLE item_publisher ADD VALIDTIME (DATE);

ALTER TABLE item ADD PRIMARY KEY (item_id, begin_time)
ALTER TABLE author ADD PRIMARY KEY (author_id, begin_time)
ALTER TABLE item_author ADD PRIMARY KEY (item_id, author_id, begin_time)
ALTER TABLE publisher ADD PRIMARY KEY (publisher_id, begin_time)
ALTER TABLE item_publisher ADD PRIMARY KEY (item_id, publisher_id, begin_time)
ALTER TABLE related_item ADD PRIMARY KEY (item_id, related_id, begin_time)
```

---

Figure 24: The `item` table creation and constrain definitions in SQL.





## D Example Parameters File for $\tau$ Generator

---

```
INITIAL_PERCENTAGE 10
START_TIME 2010-01-01
FOREVER_TIME 2099-12-31
TIME_STEP 7
REQUIRED_CHANGES 36400
ITEM_PER_TIME_STEP_INSERTS 58
ITEM_PER_TIME_STEP_DELETES 58
ITEM_PER_TIME_STEP_UPDATES 58
AUTHOR_PER_TIME_STEP_INSERTS 58
AUTHOR_PER_TIME_STEP_DELETES 58
AUTHOR_PER_TIME_STEP_UPDATES 58
PUBLISHER_PER_TIME_STEP_INSERTS 58
PUBLISHER_PER_TIME_STEP_DELETES 58
PUBLISHER_PER_TIME_STEP_UPDATES 58
RELATED_PER_TIME_STEP_INSERTS 59
RELATED_PER_TIME_STEP_DELETES 59
RELATED_PER_TIME_STEP_UPDATES 59
CHANGE_TYPE gaussian
CHANGE_STDDEV 10
OUTPUT_DIR output
INPUT_FILE catalog.xml
NULL_VALUE ""
```

---



## E DB2 Differences

DB2 [23] differs from from the PSM standard in the following ways.

- **Temporary tables.** Instead of creating a temporary table and populating it from an existing table, DB2 requires two steps:

---

```
DECLARE GLOBAL TEMPORARY TABLE table_name
  (SELECT * FROM original_table) DEFINITION ONLY
INSERT INTO SESSION.table_name
  SELECT * FROM original_table
```

---

- **DECLARE statement for conditions or temporary tables.** In a DB2 user defined function (UDF), DECLARE is limited to declarations of variables and cursors only. If a condition or temporary table is declared, the function must be converted to a procedure.
- **Function returning table type.** In a UDF definition, in order to return a table, the keyword RETURNS TABLE must be used.
- **Using a function that returns table in FROM clause.** In order to perform the following,

---

```
SELECT ... FROM table1 t1, foo() ...
```

---

the following syntax must be used.

---

```
SELECT ... FROM table1 t1, TABLE(foo()) t2 ...
```

---

Also, the call to `foo()` has to reference a column from *every* correlation name appearing before it in the FROM clause. So in this case, that means that the query expression for `t2` must mention a column from `t1`.

- **Input type casting.** To invoke a function or a procedure, input arguments needs to be explicitly cast. For instance, to invoke `foo('I2', '1990-07-12')` in DB2, one must specify the following.

---

```
foo(CAST('I2' AS CHAR(10)), CAST('1990-07-12' AS DATE))
```

---

- **ATOMIC keyword.** When defining a function body, the BEGIN statement should be associated with the ATOMIC keyword. This is to make sure the actions performed in the function can be completely rolled-back if the calling transaction aborts.
- **Booleans.** Booleans are not supported at all within a UDF. In particular, returning boolean types from a function and returning boolean arguments as OUT parameters in procedure are not supported. Integers can be used to simulate boolean values.
- **Printing messages.** There is no PRINT statement in DB2. To print ad-hoc messages, one must use the following.

---

```
SIGNAL SQLSTATE '80000' SET MESSAGE_TEXT = 'message';
```

---

Moreover, if the message contains multiple pieces that are concatenated, e.g., several variables, the following needs to be specified. Note that non-string typed values need to be cast. “||” is the concatenation operator.

---

```
DECLARE output_text CHARACTER(250);
SET output_text =
  var1 || ' ' ||
  CAST(time1 AS CHARACTER(20)) || ' ' ||
  CAST(time2 AS CHARACTER(20));
SIGNAL SQLSTATE '80000' SET MESSAGE_TEXT = output_text;
```

---

- **ROW ARRAY.** DB2 does not support row arrays. Instead, a dedicated temporary table must be used, outside the function or procedure.

- $a \% b$ . This syntax is not supported by DB2. This operator can be replaced with `MOD (a, b)`.
- `a BETWEEN b AND c`. `BETWEEN` is not supported by DB2. This operator can be replaced with two predicates, `b <= a AND a <= c`.