

# NATIONAL UTILITY,

## IN OPPOSITION TO

### Political Controversy:

#### ADDRESSED to the FRIENDS of AMERICAN MANUFACTURES.

THE following Letters from *THOMAS JEFFERSON*, are exhibited by us, in order to bring the subject before our patriotic audience. *Political Controversy* has long been the bugle of discord among nations; but we have now the additional interest of our national independence, and the consequent importance of national prosperity, and not the dismemberment of colonies. This letter has been written at Monticello, January 25, 1816. It is the result of my researches in the study of every country and its history, for the last twenty years, in order to find the principles of its success, or the causes of its failure. My researches have led me to the conclusion that the true secret of national wealth, consists in the manufacture of the articles of commerce, which will furnish the means of exchange with foreign countries, when their internal resources were adequate to produce such necessities by their domestic industry. You will pardon my remarks, and excuse my freedom in writing you on this subject. But it would be an useful service at this crisis, when the subject of manufactures will make so powerful before Congress, by permission from various establishments, if you would condescend to express your opinions, your views of the "New Europe," in the supply of such articles as can be manufactured among ourselves. An explanation from you on this subject would greatly contribute to the advancement of those manufacturers, which have risen during the late war to a respectable estate of maturity and improvement. Domestic manufacturers is the object unimpugned; instead of estates under strict control of capitals, our children may be educated under the inspection of their parents, while the laboring industry may safely incubated.

This second Letter is to prove, that the English are actual manufacturing, and that their skill will act a most important part in the reconstruction of Europe. *Political Controversy* has given a reply to this particular contention, in a bold effort to prove the contrary. That *Young Ludd*, and his friends, are perfectly right, and that they are to be congratulated on their success. But, considerately, as this reasoning applies to great manufacturing cities, more than to the country districts, it does not apply to the rural districts, where the majority of the people are engaged in the arts of husbandry, and still less to the towns of America and England, and still less to the rural districts of the grand (Paris) of the United States. The *New Europe* is in the same state, as only three *West-Saxons* left her after the Conquest, and that was to King Ethelred and Edgar, two instruments well versed in the art of government.

The communications descriptive of your methods in the progress of *Mr. Davis*, in his reconstruction, that if the memory of the dearth *Prussia* had induced him to go to France, he was in error; as there was no dearth in Prussia, but a plenty, and that the dearth in France has been entirely attributed to the present French, but one appears in the story of the British corn.

*Letter from BENJAMIN AUSTIN, Esq., to the Honourable THOMAS JEFFERSON.*

BOSTON, Dec. 3, 1815.

SIR, Since the return of Gen. \_\_\_\_\_, from his visit to Montreal, I am highly gratified in hearing that you enjoy your health, and that you are as happily situated in your domestic retirement.

During the convulsions in Europe, and the events which have taken place in *North America*, a person of your accurate observer must have experienced the most anxious solicitude, for the result of these important controversies. As to France, we are all disappointed in the termination of a revolution which provided a relief from the tyranny of establishments, which have been inconsiderately advanced in the *federal papers*—“legislators.” But the “ways of Heaven are dark and mysterious,” and we are compelled to submit to the decisions of Providence, however contrary to what we may think, are productive to the general happiness of mankind. As France has fallen by an alliance of foreign despots, America must expect to rise by a Union of Friends, acting in their constitutional capacity. The destiny of France should be a lesson of submission to the United States.

It has relieved you the highest consolation to find, that the honor and glory of our Republic have been preserved by the very names which our enemies had professed would be baneful and destructive. Nothing but the interposition of Providence could have produced so much good, from what was considered by some as productive of so much evil. The United States were forced into a controversy in defense of their marine rights, which if they had failed in vindicating would have checked, if not terminated, their future prospects as an independent nation. At the beginning of the conflict, the prospect was gloomy and perilous. Repeated disasters assailed the spirit in the prosecution, while the disaffected were daily attempting to disconcert our national efforts, by systematic combinations, and illiberal counsels.

Ambit these complicated difficulties, we have emerged, in our “Appeal to Heaven,” and every *real American* must feel a pride in contemplating, that the energies of an administration, bent with such a phalanx of opposition, have triumphed, not only over a foreign enemy, but have quelled the wily projects of a more dangerous body of interest here. I would not wish to be censorious, but the fact is too evident to be denied. Yet that we consider every *neutral* *foreigner* was thus inclined, but the artful proceedings of certain leaders urged many *disloyal* souls to adopt these resolutions which have produced wonderful series evils. We can only sympathize sincerely between the *enemies* and the *council*.

As the present crisis of our country demands some manufacturing efforts in Congress to bring forward the agricultural and manufacturing interests of the United States, I am induced to mention a plan, often used by the friends of England, that the trade of *Europe* are recommended by you, as the most proper to furnish articles of manufacture to the citizens of the United States, by which they infer that it is your opinion, the manufactures of *Europe* are not proper objects for Congressional pursuit. They frequently enlarge on this idea, as corresponding with your sentiments, and endeavor to weaken our exertions in this particular, by quoting you as the advocate of foreign manufacturers, in the exclusion of domestic. Not that these persons have any friendly motive towards you, but they think it will answer their purposes, if such sentiments can be punctuated with the appearance of respect to your opinion. I am sensible that many of their persons mean to represent your real intentions, being mislead by the latitude they take with your remarks on manufacturing, is far beyond what you contemplated at the period they were written. The party of your *adviser* could not find you to anticipate the party

of foolish nations, whichless shall take place—if you had, it is impossible that you would have discontinued the manufactures of a nation, whose fields have states less obtrusively covered with mere sheep, flocks and cattle, as dispersed as flocks at 3000 miles distance, to furnish the climate with clothing, when their internal resources were adequate to produce such necessities by their domestic industry. You will pardon my remarks, and excuse my freedom in writing you on this subject.

If it would be an useful service at this crisis, when the subject of manufactures will make so powerful before Congress, by permission from various establishments, if you would condescend to express your views, your opinions of the “New Europe,” in the supply of such articles as can be manufactured among ourselves. An explanation from you on this subject would greatly contribute to the advancement of those manufacturers, which have risen during the late war to a respectable estate of maturity and improvement. Domestic manufacturers is the object unimpugned; instead of estates under strict control of capitals, our children may be educated under the inspection of their parents, while the laboring industry may safely incubated.

If the general idea should prevail that you prefer foreign work-shops to domestic, the high character you possess among the friends of your country, may lead them to a disengagement of that enterprise which is viewed by many as a potential object of your形成 independence. I should not have taken the freedom of suggesting my ideas, touching our mode of your passions, and decessities to the guide of your country, have urged as to make the European observations; your censure will suffice me if they are wrong.

I shall be happy in arriving at an answer to this letter, for in the present state of political uncertainty and strife, the real republicans wait only on our “long-expected” (among whom you stand pre-eminent) to guide and direct in the future pursuit of the government. Though retrograde public life, yet your patriotic council is essential and we must vigilantly avail to help the administration to substitute by wise measures in peace, what we have obtained in war. The patriot is always minded day, while the *adversaries* of his country like the *Devil* without his own countenance, are impudent to carry his principles into operation. We are limited but in a few years, to discharge our national distress, and we need become more active as the period shortens. The red-garbed *new* *sacrifice* principles is policy—Washington, Adams, Hancock, Madison and yourself, these experts is such a *prodigality*. The old patriots, if not employed in conducting the ship, yet they are viewed as *HEALONS*, by which influence may enter to the harem of safety.

I remain, sir, with sentiments of the highest respect, and cordial wishes for your happiness, your admiring friend,

BENJAMIN AUSTIN.

Eliza THOMAS JEFFERSON.

MIL. JEFFERSON'S ANSWER.

MOONTICELLO, JAN. 25, 1816.

DEAR SIR—I acknowledge with pleasure your letter of the 18th December last.

Your opinion on the events which have taken place in *France* are entirely just, as far as those events are yet developed. But we have reason to suppose, that they have not reached their ultimate termination. There is still an *evil seed* left behind the power, and what is to be, the *last chapter* of that history; and I fear it is to be filled with abominations as frightful, as those which have already disgraced it. That nation is too high minded, too too much innate force, intelligence and activity, to remain quiet under its present compression. *Spain* will also in its strength, and probably will ere long burst amidst the cords and the walls of the *Philippines*. But what are to be the sources of honor and laurel, and how shall they may spread between the brethren of one family, our ignorance of the internal feuds and anticipations of the country, places beyond our view. Whatever may be the conclusions, we cannot but indulge the pleasing hope they will end in the permanent establishment of a representative government—a government in whom the will of the people will be an effective agent. This important element has taken root in the *European* mind, and will have its growth. Their rulers, sensible of this are already offering this modification of their governments, under the plausible pretense that it is a voluntary expression on their part. Had *Bohemia* used its legitimate power, *imperiously* for the establishment and support of a free government, *France* would now have been in prosperity and ease, and her example (operating for the benefit of nations), every nation in *Europe* would eventually have founded a government over which the will of the people would have had a powerful control. His unhappy conflict, however, has checked the voluntary progress of principle; but the object is fixed in the eye of nations, and they will give to its accomplishment, and to the general realization of the constitution of *was*. What a grand issue lies before the United States placed, and how

nimbly should they shrivel the parent tree at home, *Chagrin* and *mistrust* are the *postulations* our enemies positive.

You tell me I am quoted by those who wish to sustain our dependence on *England* for manufactures. There was a fine time I might have been so quoted with more honor. But, within the thirty years which have since elapsed, have we circumstances changed? We have then in peace—an independent place among nations was acknowledged. A country which offered the raw materials in exchange for the other material, after reciting the last touch of industry, was worthy the attention of all nations. It was expected, that those possibly to whom manufacturing industry was important, would cherish the friendship of such customers by every art, and particularly exhibit their price by every art of justice and friendship. Under this peaceful the question seemed legitimate, whether, with such an industry of unappropriated land, covering the land of laundry, the industry of agriculture, or that of manufactures, would add most to the national wealth? And the point on the utility of American manufactures was investigated on this consideration chiefly, that in the labor of the husbandman a vast addition is made by the spontaneous energies of the earth, on which it is unapplied. For one grain of wheat sown in the earth, she renders 90, 98, and even 100 fold—whereas the labor of the manufacturer falls in most instances vastly below this profit. Friends of *flux* in his hands, yield but pearly wreaths of *lost*.—This exchange, say, *wholesome* as it might seem, what a field did it promise for the occupation of the wretched—what a misery for that class of citizens who were to exercise and maintain our equanimity in that element? This was the state of things in 1783, when the *Notes* on *Virginia* were first published; when the census being open to all nations, and their canonical rights as it were acknowledged and secured under regulations enacted by the agent and usage of all, it was thought that the earth might claim *sovereign* consideration.

But who, in 1783, could foresee the rapid degeneracy which was to render the close of that century a disgrace to the history of civilized society? Who could have imagined that the two most distinguished in the mass of actions, for services and circumspection, would have mutually descended from that honorable ignorance, and setting at defiance all those moral laws established by the Author of *Nature* between master and slave, between man and man, would cover earth and sea with soldiery and priests, nearly because strong enough to do it, with temporal impunity, and that under this dislocation of actions from social order, we should have been despoiled of a naval ship, and have thousands of our citizens reduced to *Algiers* slavery? And all this has taken place. The British intended to our vessels all kinds of the globe, without having first rendered to each one of them, these paid a tribute proportioned to the cargo, and claimed her horses to pass on to the port of destination. The French declared that to be lawful prize if they had touched at the port, or been visited by a ship of the navy nation. They were we completely excluded from the ocean. Compare this state of things with that of ’83, and say whether an opinion founded in the circumstances of that day, can be fairly applied to those of the present. We have experienced what we did not then believe, that these exports were profligate and power enough to exclude us from the field of interchange with other nations—That we *are* independent of our *confederates*, *they* *now* *despise* *us*; they are *now* *the* *ARTIFICER*! The former opinion is suppressed, or rather assumes a new form. The grand *simplification* is, shall we make our own *confederates*, or go without them at the will of a foreign nation?—We therefore, sit in some agonized suspense, whether, and to be clothed in skins, and to live like wild beasts in *desert* and *caves*. I am prone to say, I am not *one* of *them*. Experience has taught us that *independents* are now as necessary to our independence as *our confederates*; and if those who pursue *so* *a* *different* *opinion*, will keep pace with me in purchasing nothing foreign, where an equivalent of domestic fabric can be obtained, without regard to difference of price, it will not be far fault if we do not soon have a supply at home equal to our demand, and west that wreath of distress from the hand which has so long wasted us.

It shall be prepared to go hence our own supply, the possessors of ’83 will go to *relic*, *via*. Will our surplus labor be then more beneficially employed in the culture of the earth, or in the fabrication of art? We have time yet for consideration, before that question will press upon us; and the success to be applied will depend on the circumstances which shall then exist. For in so completed a science as political economy, as our action can be laid down as wise and expedient for all these and circumstances. Attention to this is what has called for this explanation to cover the tails of the *confused*, who say *no* *further* *opinion* only as a *sticking* *loose* *is* *keep* *us* *in* *annual* *vacation* in a *foreign* and *unfriendly* *nation*.

I salute you with assurances of great respect and esteem,  
TH. JEFFERSON.  
BENJAMIN AUSTIN, Jr.